

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125570
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the impact of low tunnel technology on farm income of bottle gourd growers in the Bikaner district of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Some correction required in data sheet according to production basis.	Thank you sir, I had check the datasheet and also corrected the errors.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Some modification has been required	After the modification the title the manuscript it may be like "Evaluation of the effects of low tunnel technology on Bottle gourd farmers' farm income in Bikaner district of Rajasthan". If you found this to be a suitable title in the final evaluation, please go with this revised title
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Modification on tittle change the data according to current condition based	Done as my knowledge
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	I thankful to reviewers for their suggestions.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mentioned in upper points	I thankful to reviewers for their suggestions.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes some more required according to avilable studies	I thankful to reviewers for their suggestions.
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	Done
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	