

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_126664
Title of the Manuscript:	Medical Waste Management System in Health Institutes within the Rajshahi City Corporation, Rajshahi, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Unique study for Rajshahi city. 2. All types of medical waste are mentioned	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable. Sample title: Evaluating Medical Waste Management Practices in Health Institutions within Rajshahi City Corporation, Bangladesh.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some mistakes are available.	Done as suggested
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Solid waste management practices in Rajshahi city.	Okay
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	In introduction chapter is not sufficient for references.	Noted
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not suitable. To be improved.	
Optional/General comments	Writing skills would be improved and abstracts, results, and discussions would be given appropriately.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	