

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126254
Title of the Manuscript:	ENTREPRENEURIAL ATTRIBUTES OF MEMBERS OF SELF HELP GROUPS (SHGs) INVOLVED IN MICROENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is important and the entrepreneurial attributes of members of self-help groups (SHGs) are vital for economic empowerment and community resilience. Traits like initiative, creativity, and problem-solving enable individuals to seize business opportunities and enhance their livelihoods. SHGs foster a supportive environment that promotes innovation and collaboration, ultimately empowering members to sustain their microenterprises and improve social and economic outcomes for their communities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In abstract need to justifying the results properly with supporting data findings, results were not clearly reflected in abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In introduction author has given main focus on basic definitions and terms which is highly reflected in the MS. MS should focus on the significance and importance in entrepreneurship and overall economic development instead of definitions. Also need to give the robust methodology and results in more quantitative aspects.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This MS is not scientifically robust and correct, it seems only the short research communication. It is highly need to give the robust methodology and results with some statistical techniques and methods. Author used the traditional way of methods to conduct the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Not Many recent studies have been conducted on micro-finance and micro-enterprises, need to mention in the MS, author has used number of the old studies. In the recent changing area now the scenario of micro-finance and enterprises has changed up to the significant level. Hence need to incorporate the new references in the domain area.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Author also need it give the role of Micro-finance in empowerment with respect to assets creation, income and employment generation etc.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author needs to revise majorily by incorporating the suggested points.	

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ganga Devi
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India