

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126500
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMICS OF VALUE ADDED PRODUCTS OF GROUNDNUT IN YADGIR DISTRICT OF KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It examined the economic importance of groundnut value addition at smallholder farmer levels. It determined the amount of net return gained due to value addition of the product. It showed its profitability.	Accepted reviewer's comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Economic Benefit of Groundnut Product Value-Addition under Smallholder farmers in YADGIR DISTRICT OF KARNATAKA	I have discussed with my co-authors and retained the title of the article
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. The idea of the research is very interesting. However, there is massive grammatical errors that should be rewritten	I have made the necessary modification according to reviewer's comment
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Accepted reviewer's comments
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research used a snowball sampling technique to select the value of additional participants. This is correct in the case of the target population is unknown. The method of data analysis you followed is also correct and reaches a good conclusion.	Accepted reviewer's comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Accepted reviewer's comments
Minor REVISION comments		Accepted reviewer's comments
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is scientifically important and followed all necessary procedure. However, it needs rewritable in almost all portions of the paper. Please check using Grammar software	Accepted reviewer's comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in the manuscript