

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126314
Title of the Manuscript:	Comprehensive review on SWAT for sustainable agriculture and climatic solutions
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-The manuscript gives the real meaning about what the SWAT model is and its application on many fields of agriculture and environment -I like the manuscript for its valuable use on many research families including me - I know the the model because I have done the research on water balance and it is very robust model	Ok
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for me but, some highlighted parts should be removed from the manuscript unless the title should be fixed only in India	Literature also included from abroad case studies and therefore incorporated in the study
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is no methodology shown in the manuscript to review it The other the abstract is fine	SWAT standard methodology is used and text is added as per suggestion
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The findings of the manuscript is worldwide- The manuscript follow the order- The flow of scientific finding listed in the body is fine	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used an introduction part is almost old , so it is better to use the recent one	References used for locating study areas lacks latest reference and therefore abroad author reference also used for the literature
Minor REVISION comments		Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	fine	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	