Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126212
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship Between the Profile of Soybean Growers and their Knowledge and Adoption of Liquid Biofertilizer in Marathwada region of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
Compaisory REVISION Comments	Reviewer's comment	part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper will serve as a source of literature for researchers who want to conduct further research on soybean production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive however, the major statistics in the findings should have been included	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript will help scholars understand how farmers' demographic characteristics significantly influence their adoption of a particular technology or method.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The in-text citation style does not conform to the referencing style of the journal. Some of the references are old (2008)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable however the write-up has a lot of grammatical errors that need to be checked.	
Optional/General comments	 The problem for which this study was conducted was not clear With which sampling method were (Two talukas, Parbhani and Jintur) selected? The sampling method is not convincing The authors did not write the correlation formula used for the analysis Reports on the correlation values should be checked. Please know which value to use "strong correlation value" and which not to use. The authors did not tell us the probability level with which a variable is statistically significant (95%, 90%, etc) How were the variables "knowledge" and "understanding" measured? 	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dorcas Twumwaa Gyan
Department, University & Country	Southern University, A&M College, United States

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)