

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_123408
Title of the Manuscript:	Complementary and Alternative Medicine use Among Children with Epilepsy in Port Harcourt.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it introduces innovative methodologies that could significantly advance our understanding. The thorough research and detailed analysis presented offer valuable insights and potential applications that could drive further studies. I appreciate this manuscript for its rigorous approach and clear presentation, which enhances its impact and readability. However, the complexity of the data might pose a challenge for readers unfamiliar with advanced techniques in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its meticulous methodology and rigorous data analysis. The authors employ well-established techniques and adhere to best practices in experimental design, ensuring that the results are reliable and reproducible. Additionally, the manuscript includes comprehensive statistical evaluations and addresses potential sources of error, which further supports its credibility. The careful consideration of variables and thorough validation of findings underscore the technical integrity of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahendra Dwivedi
Department, University & Country	Maharana Pratap School of Pharmacy, India