

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_126179
Title of the Manuscript:	Blockchain-Enhanced Cloud Security Frameworks: Addressing Human-Network Vulnerabilities in Public and Private Sector Systems
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The blockchain technology in enhancing cloud security by addressing human network vulnerabilities through decentralized identity management. Moving away from centralized systems, blockchain eliminates the risks of manipulation, insider threats, and human errors like password mishandling. Other factors, such as immutable audit trails and automated procedures, further enhance accountability while decreasing human oversight and, hence, avert security breaches. While it points to various vulnerabilities of blockchain-including endpoint vulnerabilities and such-it at the same time suggests the importance of integration with other security measures as a means toward full enjoyment of benefits.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It clearly articulates the purpose of the research, the sources of data, methodology used, and the key findings. Further, it outlines a number of challenges about scalability and pragmatic recommendations to help in enhancing blockchain performance in cloud security.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is critically analyzing the role of blockchain technology in tackling the issue of vulnerabilities within cloud security. The advantages of decentralized identity management are appropriately underlined, as one would decrease its dependency on centralized systems to a minimum, which might be prone to manipulation or insider threats. The key features of the blockchain, such as immutable audit trails and smart contracts, were well-based; it is where automation enhances security and accountability. Furthermore, through the discussion of limitations related to the vulnerability of endpoints and implementation complexities, the authors ensured a balanced review of what is possible with blockchain.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	This article is good enough	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Hafizuddin Bin Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia