

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_126179
Title of the Manuscript:	Blockchain-Enhanced Cloud Security Frameworks: Addressing Human-Network Vulnerabilities in Public and Private Sector Systems
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p><b>Frameworks: Addressing Human-Network Vulnerabilities in Public and Private Sector Systems</b></p> <p><b>Summary:</b> This manuscript presents a brief description of the study, e.g., “how to prevent cloud security by addressing human vulnerabilities in public and private sectors”. The research addresses an important question in the field of cloud security and has the potential to contribute significantly to our understanding of block chain based cloud security.</p> <p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>Originality:</b> The study tackles a novel aspect of block chain based cloud security framework, which is timely and relevant.</li><li><b>Methodology:</b> The methodology is robust, employing human network vulnerabilities which are appropriate for the research questions posed.</li><li><b>Clarity of Writing:</b> The manuscript is generally well-written and clear, making complex ideas accessible.</li></ol> <p><b>Areas for Improvement:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>Literature Review:</b> While the manuscript cites several key studies, it would benefit from a more comprehensive review of recent literature, particularly on security topic.</li></ol> <p>I recommend and believe this manuscript can make a valuable contribution to the field.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. I look forward to seeing the revised version addressing the points raised.</p>	<p>The manuscript has been updated</p> <p>Thank you for the positive feedback.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	