

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_126179
Title of the Manuscript:	Blockchain-Enhanced Cloud Security Frameworks: Addressing Human-Network Vulnerabilities in Public and Private Sector Systems
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into blockchain, addressing gaps in current knowledge and offering fresh perspectives that could advance the field significantly. Its findings could be instrumental in guiding future research and may help refine methodologies or theoretical frameworks. I appreciate the manuscript for its rigorous methodology and well-structured argumentation, making complex concepts accessible to a broader scientific audience. However, some limitations present could be addressed to strengthen its conclusions further, enhancing its contribution to the scientific community.	Thank you for the positive feedback.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, some more related works should be discussed. It should present in-depth analysis of some recent works, such as: Sahu, A. K. (2024). Multimedia watermarking: Latest developments and trends. Springer. A systematic survey on TPE schemes for the cloud: Classification, Challenges and Future Scopes. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3479228 Digital to quantum watermarking: A journey from past to present and into the future. Computer Science Review, 54,100679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosrev.2024.100679 K. Anitha, & Aditya Kumar Sahu. (2024). Applications of Artificial Neural Networks in the E-Commerce Industry: A Qualitative Exploration. Nova Science. Enhancing Security and Ownership Protection of Neural Networks Using Watermarking Techniques: A Systematic Literature Review Using PRISMA. Multimedia Watermarking, 1-28. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-9803-6_1 Sahu, A. K. (2022). A logistic map based blind and fragile watermarking for tamper detection and localization in images. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 13(8), 3869-3881. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-021-03365-9	Updated
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It has solved the recent issues in this field	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	