## Review Form 3

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **Journal of Experimental Agriculture International** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_JEAI_126565** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **WEED DYNAMICS AND SOIL HEALTH AS INFLUENCED BY TILLAGE METHOD AND ESTABLISHMENT METHOD IN SMALL MILLETS** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **I found this article very good and well written on the basis of findings of the sound and well-designed field experiment.This sort of Nobel works, I think certainly adds one more bricks on the buildings of scientific community.It recommended new farming system for the promotion of soil health in one hand and from the weed management point of view recommend another type of farming system.That is choice option for the farmers.The findings of the experiment finally promotes the sustainable agriculture which I like very much.** | |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title of the article is appropriate but would be better to make minute change as<br>"WEED DYNAMICS AND SOIL HEALTH AS INFLUENCED BY TILLAGE AND ESTABLISHMENT METHOD IN SMALL MILLETS"** | |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | **I found the abstract of the article is comprehensive, well written and inclusive of the whole content of the article but in the second sentence of abstract …A field experiment on" Millets for ……..minimum tillage" is not represented the whole experiment so it should be replaced by the article title "weed dynamics ……..in small millets"** | |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | **All the sub section and structure of the manuscript found to be appropriate but by mistake the figure 1 was inserted in the materials and methods section so it should be kept in 3.1weed flora section as denoted position in the article.** | |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The authors not maintaining homogeneity for the citation in the article .They have used number of the cited article in the introduction and even upto 3.4 part of the result and discussion.later on they have used another type of citation method so to maintain the homogeneity in term of citation process following the methods as denoted by the journal in the author guidelines is mandatory.In the 3 Results and discussion section after 3.4 they have used again 3.4 sub heading which need to correct accordingly.** | |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **References are just OK but not sufficient. I would like to request for addition of one more reference as denoted in the review form.** | |

## Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Minor REVISION comments<br><br>**Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | The standards of the language used in the articles found to be good and standard enough for scholarly communications. | |
| **Optional/General** comments | References are not enough it would be good to include the citation more and more. | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |

**Reviewer Details:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | **Rameshwar Rai** |
| Department, University & Country | **Madan Bhandari University of Science and Technology, Nepal** |