

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125836
Title of the Manuscript:	An overview of the developments in the propagation of the East Indian Sandalwood, Santalum album L.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for further study, research and conservation. The manuscript has revised the published research works and present them in a single paper.	Appreciation acknowledged with gratitude
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	N.A.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In key words better to keep the words like seeds, macropropagation and micropropagation	In keywords, 'tissue culture' is replaced by 'seeds, macropropagation, micropropagation' in the revised document
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript seems appropriate. some feedbacks are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In Introduction please write abbreviation – International Union of Conservation of Nature (IUCN) 2. Make consistency in fig 1 (Seed germination) and 2.1 Propagation through seeds 3. In section 2.1 – What is the nature of seeds? Recalcitrant or orthodox ? 4. Better to make consistency in words East Indian Sandalwood / Sandalwood and Sandal (Pg no 4) and other places also 5. You have mentioned Drawbacks of macropropagation in 2.2.4 if possible review the drawbacks of seed propagation and micropropagation 6. In all sub sections, make uniformity either Sentence case or Capitalized each word (eg. 2.3.1 Axillary Shoot Proliferation but in other sub section there is sentence case) 7. 2.3.1 Axillary shoot proliferation: Citation and reference needed 8. In citation also make consistency in the word and for eg: Revathy & Arumugam (2011) in pg 16 Bapt and Roa (1988) in pg 20 ,etc 9. Better to add sub section Hardening in 2.3 Micropropagation and review the paper related to hardening 10. Write the full form of abbreviation of MS, BAP, IBA, TDZ, etc in the beginning 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Since 'International Union of Conservation of Nature' is mentioned only once in the document, abbreviation is not required as there is no further mention. 2. Title of the figure is revised, as suggested. 3. Included in the revised manuscript. 4. Sandal has been replaced with sandalwood consistently in the revised paper. 5. Drawbacks of seed propagation and micropropagation are not mentioned separately, since they are irrelevant. In order to maintain consistency, the section 2.2.4 is removed. 6. Sentence case has been followed uniformly in sub-sections, as suggested. 7. Citation and references have been added, as suggested. 8. Revised citations, as suggested. 9. Since there are not many research papers focussing only on hardening for sandalwood, the sub-section is not added. 10. Full form of these abbreviations are added, when mentioned first.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	Manuscript has been prepared from wide range of research papers and experiments regarding propagation techniques of S. album	Appreciation acknowledged with gratitude

Review Form 3

required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		N.A.
Minor REVISION comments		N.A.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		N.A.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	N.A.