Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126525
Title of the Manuscript:	APPEALING CLASSROOM ORGANIZATION AND BUILDING COMMUNITY TEACHING OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
	part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
The reviewer does not necessarily dislike the paper, as a matter of fact if due consideration will be given and upon proper incorporation of the comments and suggestions it could be recommended for publication. The statistical treatment is appropriate that yields to appropriate result based on the title. Its just that the specific objectives of the paper is not clearly stated in the manuscript. Or should I say that there is no subsection for the objectives of the study	his/her feedback here)
The title of the article is suitable to the contents of the paper	
There is no congruency between the abstract and the discussion in the results of the study. It was made mention in the abstract that the degree of appealing classroom organization of public elementary school teachers in terms of vision, sensitivity, needs and risk-taking were manifested oftentimes. Moreover, the level of building community teaching of public elementary school teachers in terms of supportive, instructive, preventive and responsive were manifested sometimes wherein high and moderate result was reflected in the table	
The subsections are appropriate except there is no subsection for the objectives of the study which is one of the most essential parts of the paper	
Except for the issue on the lack of subsection for objectives, the paper is well written and contains the other essential parts. Given proper attention, the paper could be recommended for publication upon incorporation of the mentioned limitations. Though the paper cannot be considered as extra ordinary, this one will definitely add up to existing body of knowledge if the suggestions and recommendations will be properly incorporated in the paper	
The references are sufficient but there is one reference that can be change into a more recent date	
The language is suitable and easy to understand	
The author may refer to the copy of the manuscript for the detailed comments and suggestions. If properly reconciled, the paper could be recommended for publication	
	The reviewer does not necessarily dislike the paper, as a matter of fact if due consideration will be given and upon proper incorporation of the comments and suggestions it could be recommended for publication. The statistical treatment is appropriate that yields to appropriate result based on the title. Its just that the specific objectives of the paper is not clearly stated in the manuscript. Or should I say that there is no subsection for the objectives of the study The title of the article is suitable to the contents of the paper There is no congruency between the abstract and the discussion in the results of the study. It was made mention in the abstract that the degree of appealing classroom organization of public elementary school teachers in terms of vision, sensitivity, needs and risk-taking were manifested oftentimes. Moreover, the level of building community teaching of public elementary school teachers in terms of supportive, instructive, preventive and responsive were manifested sometimes wherein high and moderate result was reflected in the table The subsections are appropriate except there is no subsection for the objectives of the study which is one of the most essential parts of the paper Except for the issue on the lack of subsection for objectives, the paper is well written and contains the other essential parts. Given proper attention, the paper could be recommended for publication upon incorporation of the mentioned limitations. Though the paper cannot be considered as extra ordinary, this one will definitely add up to existing body of knowledge if the suggestions and recommendations will be properly incorporated in the paper The references are sufficient but there is one reference that can be change into a more recent date The language is suitable and easy to understand

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imelda M. Flores
Department, University & Country	College of Teacher Education, Batangas State University, Philippines

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)