

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126525
Title of the Manuscript:	APPEALING CLASSROOM ORGANIZATION AND BUILDING COMMUNITY TEACHING OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The reviewer does not necessarily dislike the paper, as a matter of fact if due consideration will be given and upon proper incorporation of the comments and suggestions it could be recommended for publication. The statistical treatment is appropriate that yields to appropriate result based on the title. Its just that the specific objectives of the paper is not clearly stated in the manuscript. Or should I say that there is no subsection for the objectives of the study	Thank you for your comments. All suggestions in the appended paper are considered.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable to the contents of the paper	Thank you for your comments. All suggestions in the appended paper are considered.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is no congruency between the abstract and the discussion in the results of the study. It was made mention in the abstract that the degree of appealing classroom organization of public elementary school teachers in terms of vision, sensitivity, needs and risk-taking were manifested oftentimes . Moreover, the level of building community teaching of public elementary school teachers in terms of supportive, instructive, preventive and responsive were manifested sometimes wherein high and moderate result was reflected in the table	Thank you for your comments. All suggestions in the appended paper are considered.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are appropriate except there is no subsection for the objectives of the study which is one of the most essential parts of the paper	Thank you for your comments. All suggestions in the appended paper are considered.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Except for the issue on the lack of subsection for objectives, the paper is well written and contains the other essential parts. Given proper attention, the paper could be recommended for publication upon incorporation of the mentioned limitations. Though the paper cannot be considered as extra ordinary, this one will definitely add up to existing body of knowledge if the suggestions and recommendations will be properly incorporated in the paper	Thank you for your comments. All suggestions in the appended paper are considered.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are sufficient but there is one reference that can be change into a more recent date	Thank you for your comments. All suggestions in the appended paper are considered.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable and easy to understand	Thank you for your comments. All suggestions in the appended paper are considered.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author may refer to the copy of the manuscript for the detailed comments and suggestions. If properly reconciled, the paper could be recommended for publication	Thank you for your comments. All suggestions in the appended paper are considered.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	