Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_124906**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATING TEMPORAL PRICE BEHAVIOUR OF RICE IN BANGLADESH**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a detailed analysis of the temporal price behavior of rice in Bangladesh, a critical food commodity. Understanding price trends is vital for policymakers, farmers, and consumers, particularly in a country where rice is a staple and contributes significantly to food security. The findings offer valuable insights into price volatility and can assist in stabilizing the rice market, improving both production and consumer affordability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	The title is appropriate as it accurately reflects the content and scope of the manuscript. No changes are needed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear and concise, summarizing the study's aim, methodology, results, and conclusions. However, to enhance clarity, the sentence *"Both nominal and deflated prices were sued"* should be revised to *"Both nominal and deflated prices were used."* Minor revision needed for language consistency.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-organized, with clear sections for introduction, methodology, results, and discussion. The subsections effectively guide the reader through the analysis, making it easy to follow.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound. The use of descriptive statistics and linear regression for analyzing price trends is appropriate. The data sources (BBS and DAM) are reliable, and the analysis covers a significant timeframe, making the findings comprehensive. The regression analysis offers a clear understanding of the price trends for fine and coarse rice over a 31-year period.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	**The references are sufficient and relevant. However, additional recent studies on rice price volatility in other regions may strengthen the comparative analysis.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	1. The abstract should be revised for clarity, specifically correcting *"sued"* to *"used."* 2. The references section could be expanded to include more recent studies on price behavior in agricultural markets globally.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Created by: DR   Checked by: PM   Approved by: MBM   Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tejal Mahajan
Department, University & Country	Swayam Siddhi College of Management and Research, India