

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_126311 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Morphological and molecular studies for the identification of intraspecific crosses in citrus |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The optimization method for hybrid screening from such crosses serves as an initial step to identify potential hybrids using morphological traits, followed by molecular marker validation. This approach combines morphological selection with SSR (Simple Sequence Repeat) analysis, allowing for effective identification of hybrid seedlings in crosses involving polyembryonic cultivars. SEE ATTACHMENT | Mentioned in the last line of the abstract |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | yes | N.A |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes. Mentioned in the MS file via track changes | N.A |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | yes | N.A |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Hybrid screening is conducted using both molecular and morphological methods with suitable protocols, and the materials and methods are applied as required. | N.A |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Are sufficient, wherever needed has been mentioned in ms | N.A |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Needs minor corrections- grammatical and scientific | Done according to the suggestions |
| Optional/General comments | In ms file | N.A |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | No |