

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126404
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of Traits and Path Coefficient Analysis among Morpho-Agronomic Traits of Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.)Moench] Genotypes in the Central Plateau Zone of Rwanda
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study identifies relationships between yield and other traits, helps breeders select traits for indirect selection, improving crop productivity and reveals positive, negative or neutral correlations, guiding trait prioritization optimizing breeding strategies through targeted trait selection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis for Morpho-Agronomic Traits of Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] Genotypes in the Central Plateau Zone of Rwanda	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggested in the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has given authentic and clear data regarding the manuscript and he has put the information way it has to be. A table giving the details of the experimental material can be added to make the manuscript complete and look more authentic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	A. Anisha
Department, University & Country	Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, India