

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_121049
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Composition and Oxidative Properties of Moringa peregrina Dried-Ground Parts Extracted with Different Solvents
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As whole this article focused on supporting by lab results its findings that makes it a well academic generates scholars or knowledge especially for direct related field of studies. Moreover, the findings of this study can be a basic scientific notice for further studies forehead.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of this study was computable that was made as suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract part under sub topic (methods) the constructed methodology issues were limited and it needs detail and clear narrate. It also missed highlight stated recommendation under conclusion part.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structures of the manuscript were well constructed by considering introduction to conclusion of the study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction part was tried to stated context definition of the title of the article and the last paragraph of study was also showed highlight purpose of the study. Methods and materials part of the study were stated well details that made clear, relevant and an appropriate way of generating knowledge of the specific study. Overall the findings of this article can be a basic source of information and adequate experimental analysis as a result it was a sustainable developmental way of conducting study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references were recent expect ref# 12, 16-18 were late references and needs to be replaced with recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it was suitable article related to English quality.	
Optional/General comments	The article was researchable area and author/s was/were also try to address a well scientifically sound of findings with relevant information.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Girma Worku
Department, University & Country	Arsi University, College of Health Science, Ethiopia