

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_119977
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining the Role of Generative AI in Academic Integrity and Learning Outcomes: A Case Study of Selected Universities in the Upper East Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Reviewer believes that the manuscript is important for the scientific community. The research paper examines the impact of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) on academic integrity and learning outcomes in selected universities in the Upper East Region. The study adopts a case study approach to investigate the use of Generative AI among students, its implications for academic integrity, and its effects on learning outcomes. Data collection methods consist of interviews, surveys, and the analysis of academic records.</p> <p>2. The title of the abstract is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The overall structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient. Reviewer suggests the following additional references:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Chan, C.K.Y., Hu, W. Students' voices on generative AI: perceptions, benefits, and challenges in higher education. Int J Educ Technol High Educ 20, 43 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00411-8- Wang, T. (2023). Navigating Generative AI (ChatGPT) in Higher Education: Opportunities and Challenges. In: Anutariya, C., Liu, D., Kinshuk, Tlili, A., Yang, J., Chang, M. (eds) Smart Learning for A Sustainable Society. ICSLE 2023. Lecture Notes in Educational Technology. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-5961-7_28- Hashmi, N., & Bal, A. S. (2024). Generative AI in higher education and beyond. <i>Business Horizons</i>.- Triantafyllou, S.A. (2024). A Detailed Study on the Game of Life. In: Farhaoui, Y., Hussain, A., Saba, T., Taherdoost, H., Verma, A. (eds) Artificial Intelligence, Data Science and Applications. ICAISE 2023. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, vol 837. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48465-0_5- Triantafyllou, S.A. (2024). Understanding and Designing Turing Machines with Applications to Computing. In: Farhaoui, Y., Hussain, A., Saba, T., Taherdoost, H., Verma, A. (eds) Artificial Intelligence, Data Science and Applications. ICAISE 2023. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, vol 837. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48465-0_19- S. A. Triantafyllou, "Work in progress: Educational Technology and Knowledge Tracing Models," 2022 IEEE World Engineering Education Conference (EDUNINE), Santos, Brazil, 2022, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/EDUNINE53672.2022.9782335.	<p>1. Thank you for your positive feedback. We appreciate the recognition of the importance of our study and the relevance of our research methods.</p> <p>2. We are glad that the title of the abstract meets the expectations.</p> <p>3. Thank you for your kind words regarding the comprehensiveness of the abstract.</p> <p>4. We appreciate your acknowledgment of the manuscript's structure.</p> <p>5. Thank you for confirming the scientific accuracy of our manuscript.</p> <p>6. We agree with the reviewer's suggestion and have added the recommended references to strengthen the manuscript. The new references are highlighted in the manuscript.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is suitable.</p>	<p>Thank you for your positive feedback on the language quality of the article.</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>After strengthening the article with some extra references the article will be better. Also, after the 5. Conclusion section, the subsection should be named as 5.1 Recommendations instead of 5.3 Recommendations.</p>	<p>1. Thank you for your suggestion. We have added extra references to strengthen the article. The added references are highlighted in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. We have corrected the subsection name to 5.1 Recommendations as advised.</p>

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	