

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119694
Title of the Manuscript:	Feasibility And Growth of Mustard Farming In Uttar Pradesh And Bundelkhand Region (U.P.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1. The manuscript titled "Feasibility And Growth of Mustard Farming In Uttar Pradesh And Bundelkhand Region (U.P.)" is significant for the scientific community, particularly for those focused on agricultural economics, regional development, and crop management. It provides valuable insights into the growth patterns, economic viability, and challenges of mustard farming in a socio-economically underdeveloped region. By analyzing extensive time-series data and employing robust analytical tools, the study highlights the potential of mustard farming to improve livelihoods in drought-prone areas. It also underscores the need for targeted interventions to stabilize production and enhance returns for farmers, contributing to the broader discourse on sustainable agricultural practices and rural development.</p> <p>2. The title "Feasibility And Growth of Mustard Farming In Uttar Pradesh And Bundelkhand Region (U.P.)" is generally suitable as it clearly indicates the focus on mustard farming and the specific regions of interest. However, for greater clarity and impact, an alternative title could be: "Assessing the Feasibility and Growth Dynamics of Mustard Farming in Uttar Pradesh and Bundelkhand Region". This alternative title emphasizes both the assessment aspect and the specific focus on growth dynamics, making it more informative for potential readers.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article provides a good overview of the study, including the context, objectives, methods, and key findings. However, it could be made more comprehensive by including specific numerical results and highlighting the significance of the findings more clearly.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate and well-organized.</p> <p>5. Based on the provided excerpts from the manuscript, the study appears to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references in the manuscript are sufficient and include recent studies. However, incorporating additional recent studies on climate change, technological advancements, and economic analyses could provide a more comprehensive perspective and strengthen the manuscript further.</p>	<p>Bundelkhand is one of the least socio-economically developed regions of the country mainly due to regular incidence of drought and erratic rainfall and Mustard is one of the most important oilseed crop of the region. Hence, it is important to understand the status of mustard cultivation in the region.</p> <p>Title has been modified as per the suggestion of the author.</p> <p>Numerical findings has been added to abstract wherever possible. Further addition will increase the word limit of abstract.</p> <p>Only relevant and most recent published researches has been added.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication, but minor revisions for grammar, consistency, and professionalism can enhance its readability and impact. Ensuring these adjustments will help in clearly conveying the research findings and maintaining a high standard of academic writing.</p>	<p>Minor modifications have been made to increase the readability of the article.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is a significant contribution to the field of agricultural economics and regional development. With minor revisions and enhancements, it can provide even more valuable insights and have a greater impact on both the academic community and practical agricultural policies.</p>	<p>Relevant revisions has been done by the authors.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	