

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115777
Title of the Manuscript:	To Access the Knowledge of Community Science: A Fresh Perspective on B.Sc. Community Science Grade
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. The author(s) needs to follow a standard research article writing style. 2. NA 3. Abstract improvements suggestions are provided in the manuscript, please follow 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. 5. No, it needs major improvements 6. References are not sufficient nor recent	 1. Followed the standard style as wished by reviewer 2.NA 3. Improvement has been made. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are made appropriate 5.Major improvements has been made. 6. References corrected
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. Language quality needs to be improved	Language quality improved.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NA