

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115506
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of spacing and row proportions to growth, yield and economics of turmeric and okra intercropping system under North Eastern Ghat Zone of Odisha
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<p>Yes, it is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>NO. The title should be “Turmeric intercropping systems; effects and economics of spacing and rows in North Eastern Ghat Zone of Odisha in India</p> <p>No, Information of other inputs needs to included.</p> <p>There is limited information on the methodology in terms of the inputs used.</p> <p>No. There is no methodology for the economics section</p> <p>No. There are very old references  eg, Narayanpur and Sulikeri(1996); Singh and Randhawa (1988)  some of the references are missing in the document; eg. Sivaraman and Palaniappan (1994),  Kindly go through these references and make further corrections.</p> <p>There are no cost values. Only Benefit Cost ratio values is provided. Information on inputs and method of identifying the cost is not presented. Include cost values.  These are missing in the data.  Add data of the inputs used and the different combination use for each treatment. There are different factors that affect the yield. Include these factors to the study. The spacing is one of the many factors.  Did the author use the same variety of planting material, seed and other inputs?</p>	<p>Okay</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Okay</p> <p>Noted</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>It is fairly good.</p>	<p>Okay</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The idea is good, but there is need for further information to make it understandable. It looks abstract and difficult to comprehend. There are some grammatical errors which is largely due to the spacing.</p>	<p>Okay</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	