

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJOCS_109434 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ACTIVATED CARBON FROM MAHOGANY FRUIT SHELL (<i>Khaya senegalensis</i>) IMPREGNATED WITH TiO₂ USED IN THE ADSORPTION OF CADMIUM AND ARSENIC |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <p>The Synthesis of Activated Carbon From Mahogany Fruit Shell Impregnated With Tio2 is interesting to get the good adsorbent for heavy metal adsorption</p> <p>No</p> <p>SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ACTIVATED CARBON FROM MAHOGANY FRUIT SHELL (Khaya senegalensis) IMPREGNATED WITH TiO2 FOR CADMIUM AND ARSENIC ADSORPTION</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Abstract already contained all purpose, method, result and conclusion</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Figure of FTIR and XRD analysis not consistent scale between unimpregnated and impregnated adsorbent. It difficult to compare each other, because not same y scale. Combine in one figure is better.2. Not clear information for SEM Image, is it the same magnification between unimpregnated and impregnated adsorbent? Particle size also need include in each image.3. Adsorption data of time effect is a confusing. The optimum adsorption amount is around 99% on initial concentration effect and adsorbent effect, but for time effect the adsorption capacity below 98% all. How you declare what is the optimum adsorption of time effect? <p>yes</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | No In all figure is wrote Arsanic, it should be Arsenic | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name: | Moondra Zubir |
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia |