

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_115770
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic Susceptibility Profiles of Bacteria Isolated from Patients Hospitalized at the Sylvanus Olympio University Teaching Hospital in Lomé, Togo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Suggestions were made in the article. It would be more appropriate to prefer either resistance or susceptibility.</p> <p>It is recommended to revise the title according to the arrangement in the article.</p> <p>After the article is edited according to the suggestions, the abstract section should also be reorganised. Yes Yes Yes</p> <p>The main reasons are that the study is limited to routine laboratory data from a single center, does not contain new data after 2019 (there have been huge changes in antibiotic resistance rates during the Covid 19 period), and does not offer a new perspective.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>(Either antibiotic resistance rates alone or antibiotic susceptibility rates should be stated throughout the article. The title and the information given in the article do not constitute integrity.</p> <p>The findings section does not include demographic data on age, gender and diagnosis. The findings section should be reorganised by adding these data and making comparisons (for example, which bacteria are isolated more frequently in which diagnosis, in which age group antibiotic susceptibility/resistance rates are high, etc.).</p> <p>When these arrangements are made, the article will become more effective).</p> <p>It is also recommended to add data after 2019 (especially Covid 19 period data). Because there have been serious changes in antibiotic cuts in this period. Periodic comparison will also be ensured.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehtap Solmaz
Department, University & Country	Tokat Gaziosmanpasa University, Turkey