Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Scientific Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_115636**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Betacyanin, antioxidant activity and shelf-life evaluation of thermally, microwave, and chemically processed lime-flavored dragon fruit RTS beverage**
Type of the Article	**Original article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community.** **This research presents comprehensive findings on the standardization of lime juice in dragon fruit RTS beverages. The results will be valuable in producing dragon fruit RTS beverages that are both appealing to consumers and offer optimal benefits.** **2. Yes, the title is suitable.** **3. The abstract is comprehensive but needs some improvement. Please refer to the comments on the manuscript.** **4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.** **5. Yes, I do.** **6. The manuscript's discussion requires additional references from previous similar studies to support its claims. Therefore, the bibliography will naturally increase. Currently, the literature used is over 10 years old. Using more recent literature sources, with the oldest being no more than 10 years old is recommended.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The article's English quality is appropriate for scientific communication. However, proofreading is necessary to ensure that the manuscript uses clear and concise English that enables readers to fully comprehend its content.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript presents extensive research findings that will enhance the quality of RTS beverages, particularly dragon fruit RTS beverages. Although the manuscript requires improvement in certain areas, such as the discussion, conclusions, and references, please refer to the reviewer's comments in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ni Made Dharma Shantini Suena**
Department, University & Country	**Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia**