

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	JournalofScientificResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JSRR_115510
TitleoftheManuscript:	AssessmentofAlcoholUseControlProgramsinPublicand PrivateSecondarySchoolsinLofaCounty,Liberia:Cross-sectionalStudy
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is very important to know the comparative impact of the alcohol drinking habits of students at public or private schools as a basis for determining policies by policymakers at schools and at higher institutions than those schools. so that solutions can be found in carrying out interventions</p> <p>2. The title is good, but it is better to keep the explanation of public and private in the indicator, for two specific purposes: Title: Assessment of Alcohol Use Control Programs in Secondary Schools in Lofa County Liberia</p> <p>3. abstract is complete</p> <p>4. it is appropriate, it only needs to be added, in the methodology there is a place and time for research</p> <p>5. Yes, this writing is scientifically correct, because it includes an appropriate writing system: Abstract, introduction, research methodology, results and discussion and conclusions.</p> <p>6. the references should be the last five years. 2020-2024. If the reference is more than 10 years old, the references should be replaced. if the book reference can be the last ten years. If there are new books, it's okay if they are still old books.</p>	<p>I agree with the reviewer's recommendation; thus, I have made the necessary corrections as seen below:</p> <p>Assessment of Alcohol Use Control Programs in Secondary Schools in Lofa County, Liberia</p> <p>I have updated all references to concur with the novelty standard.</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>To translate, you can actually use Google Translate. However, you should pay more attention to the grammar.</p>	<p>I have modified a few of the complex vocabularies.</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>This journal is good, it can be published, after replacing journals for the last 5 years and books for the last 10 years. In general it is quite good, but still needs to be improved. including the title being slightly revised and the keywords adjusted to the revised title. The research objectives are not stated in the introduction. There is now a written place and time in the research method. there is a population written but the sample is not written. In conclusion, the research has not answered the objectives. There are still many references that are old, so they should be replaced with references from the last 5 years. the words are not neat, so they need to be arranged again, so that they are even better. Thank You</p>	

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	