

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Cancer and Tumor International   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JCTI_115384  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Early Detection of Cancer: Present and Prospective Challenges for Future Research |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <p>Yes. Review article regarding MRI for early detection of cancer. Limitations of the previous research are mentioned which can be analysed by future researchers.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The Aim could have been stated more clearly.<br/>-</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> | <p>Thanks for the commendations.</p> <p>The Aim has been stated more clearly and highlighted in yellow in the abstract.</p>   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | <p>Yes. The language is good.</p>  | <p>Thanks for the commendations.</p>  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   |  |   |

PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |