

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_115779</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Physicochemical properties and Cost of production of cow milk shrikhand blended with Calcutta betel vine (Piper betel) extract</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes. "Preparation of cow milk shrikhand blended with calcutta betel vine ( <i>Piper betel</i> ) leaves extract" can be useful for the scientific community.  2. Yes.  3. Part of the work received for publication.  4. Yes. Appropriate.  5. The article should be revised as per the corrections made in the text. The statistics has to be carried out promptly and provide alphabet superscripts based on the significance either 95 % (or) 99 % accuracy in each parameter in the table no.2.  6.The references were checked as per the citation in text and few were missing and correct accordingly	Noted  Ok  Thanks  Revision made  ok
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Revise as per the corrections	Done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The statistics has to be carried out with suitable design.</li><li>The alphabet superscripts will be provided in each parameter values based on the statistical significance.</li><li>Recommended after correction made in the manuscript</li></ul>	ok

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	