

Review Form 1.7

JournalName:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_115941
Titleof the Manuscript:	InsectFrassasOrganicFertilizerinSustainableAgriculture
Typeof the Article	ReviewArticle

PART1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, this research is indeed important for the scientific community, as it offers insights into innovative approaches to sustainable agriculture. By exploring the use of insect frass as a fertilizer, it can contribute to the ongoing effort to reduce reliance on synthetic chemicals and promote environmentally friendly farming practices. Furthermore, the emphasis on a circular economy approach aligns with current trends in sustainability research.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title clearly indicates that the manuscript discusses the use of insect frass as an organic fertilizer and its relevance to sustainable agriculture.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a concise overview of the key points covered in the article. It introduces the problem of minimizing synthetic fertilizer use in agriculture, introduces the concept of using insect frass as an alternative organic fertilizer and highlights its potential benefits for sustainable agriculture and the circular economy. Also, it mentions the increased efficiency of mass insect breeding for feed and food production compared to conventional animal farming. However, the abstract lacks a brief summary of the methodology or approaches discussed in the article, as well as any major findings or conclusions. All these need to be added.</p> <p>4. The article provides an exploration of insect frass as a promising organic fertilizer in sustainable agriculture. It highlights the urgent need for sustainable food production methods in the face of global population growth and environmental concerns. Detailing the composition and benefits of insect frass, the text emphasizes its potential to enhance soil fertility, promote plant growth and increase crop yields while minimizing reliance on chemical fertilizers. The circular economy concept is discussed, highlighting the role of insect frass in recycling organic waste into valuable nutrients for agricultural use. Furthermore, the article outlines various applications and processing methods for insect frass, along with its advantages in improving soil health and plant resilience to environmental stresses. While acknowledging the novelty and potential of insect frass, the text calls for further research to fully understand its impact on agricultural systems and its role as a sustainable alternative to conventional fertilizers. However, this review article lacks a critical analysis. It fails to explore potential drawbacks like scalability issues or environmental impacts associated with using insect frass as a fertilizer. Also, it lacks a deeper discussion of existing research, drawing on peer-reviewed literature to bolster its credibility. Comparative analysis is also lacking, as the article should compare insect frass with other fertilizers to provide context. Furthermore, it could outline specific areas for future research to guide further investigation.</p> <p>5. Yes, but still need to improve.</p> <p>6. Unfortunately, the citations provided are not current. As this is a review article, the authors are required to include a minimum of 40% recent references.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Moderate

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ewe Lay Sheng
Department, University & Country	The Energy University, Malaysia