CO₂ and Climate Change: Unveiling the Missing Experimental Evidence

ABSTRACT

Human activities releasing greenhouse gases are identified as dominant contributors to the observed climate change including global warming and its acceleration. The consequences for humanity are predicted to be severe. Therefore, to mitigate global warming, significant efforts are being devoted to reducing CO_2 emissions and stabilizing (or even reducing) atmospheric CO_2 concentration. This enormous endeavor of 'decarbonization' comes with substantial costs, running into trillions of USD in Western countries alone.

Fundamentally, the entirety of endeavors, actions, and outcomes hinges upon the central hypothesis stating that the increase of CO_2 concentration from approximately 0.03% to more than 0.04% causes a noticeable temperature rise. Given the paramount significance of this hypothesis, the generally accepted rules of science would necessitate rigorous scrutiny for substantiation. Such substantiation is typically provided by an experimental evidence.

Yet, surprisingly according to the results of this research, exactly this essential experimental evidence supporting the central hypothesis seems to be lacking, not fully adhering to fundamental principles of scientific analysis. Consequently, robust experimental evidence must be presented to substantiate the hypothesis, as the failure to do so would necessitate a reassessment of the emphasis on CO_2 emissions reduction as the primary solution to climate change.

Keywords: "Experimental Evidence"; "Green House Gas Effect"; "CO₂ Concentration"; "Temperature Increase"; "Global Warming"; "Decarbonization"

1 INTRODUCTION

The observed global warming and its acceleration in the past century have piqued scientific interest in understanding its underlying drivers. Recent research strongly supports the idea that human activities have become an (if not 'the') important contributor to climate change, in particular average global temperature increase, over the last 170 years. The primary reason for this global warming is strongly believed to be the enhanced greenhouse effect of the Earth's atmosphere caused by the release of greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). Notwithstanding the fact that these gases constitute not more than 0.05% of the air, it is widely undisputed that these gases trap heat from the Sun, preventing some of it from escaping back into space, and result in warming the Earth's surface and atmosphere. Scientists are particularly concerned about CO₂, which is released in large quantities through burning fossil fuels and deforestation. Other significant greenhouse gases include methane from agriculture and landfills, nitrous oxide from agricultural activities and industries, and fluorinated gases used in various applications¹. Hence, unless the increase of atmospheric CO₂ concentration is mitigated or halted, there is a projected continuation of global warming and anticipation of substantial repercussions for humanity.

Consequently, worldwide substantial efforts are being dedicated to reducing CO₂ emissions, with the primary aim of either decreasing or stabilizing the atmospheric CO₂ concentration to mitigate the escalation of global warming. To this, various countries are taking measures to curtail the utilization of fossil fuels, i.e., coal, oil products, and natural gas, with the intent of transforming the transportation, heating,

⁽World Meteorological Organization & United Nations Environment Programme, 1988)is often cited as a turning point in the public's understanding of climate change. Here it is The IPCC report, which was authored by hundreds of scientists from around the world, concluded that it was "extremely likely" that human activities were the main cause of climate change. See also, inter alia, (Schneider, 1989) (Revelle & Suess, 1957) (Callendar, 1938)

and energy sectors. However, this transition to alternative energy sources is expected to entail considerable costs, reaching trillions of USD in Western countriesalone.

In the face of this colossal and epochal undertaking known as 'decarbonization', with its potential consequences for the very survival of humanity, there can be no room for complacency. Hence, it is imperative that the investigation of fundamental hypotheses is approached with unrelenting, unwavering, and ruthless scientific scrutiny (see the short description in 2.1).

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Background: Scientific scrutiny and the significance of experiments

"The principle of science, the definition, almost, is the following: The test of all knowledge is experiment. Experiment is the sole judge of scientific 'truth'." Le., any scientific hypothesis is not considered to be scientifically meaningful until it has been tested and supported by experimental evidence. In other words, essential for testing hypotheses is experimentation and the experimental verification which helps to avoid the illusion of knowledge ("The greatest enemy of knowledge is not ignorance; it is the illusion of knowledge").

Typically, the scientific method is a systematic approach to gathering and evaluating evidence to determine the validity of a hypothesis⁴. The method can be described by the following five steps:

- 1. Observation;
- 2. Hypothesis, i.e., developing a tentative explanation for the observation or question;
- 3. Experiment⁵;
- 4. Data analysis; and
- 5. Conclusion

It is widely acknowledged that a scientific hypothesis can never be proven true, but it can only be falsified by experimental evidence. I.e., if the results of an experiment do not match the predictions of a hypothesis, then the hypothesis must be rejected⁶.

Often attributed to Stephen Hawking, but also to (Boorstin, 1993)

see similar, e.g., (Lexis & Julien, 2017, p. 22); (Medicine, 1992, p. 17 et seg.)

A physical experiment is a controlled and systematic procedure conducted to investigate, observe, and measure the behavior of natural phenomena, materials, or systems. In a physical experiment, researchers manipulate independent variables, while carefully controlling and monitoring other relevant constants or control variables, to observe and measure the dependent variables, which are the outcomes or responses of interest. The goal of a physical experiment is to test hypotheses or gain a deeper understanding of the underlying principles governing the observed phenomenon.

Key components of a physical experiment include:

- Manipulation: intentional variation of independent variables to observe their effects on the dependent variables.
- Control: To ensure the validity and reliability of the results, all factors that could potentially influence the dependent variable are carefully controlled.
- Randomization: assignment of participants or samples to different experimental conditions to reduce bias.
- Replication: Conducting the experiment multiple times enhances the robustness and generalizability of the results.
- "No amount of observational evidence can ever prove a scientific theory. But if it is contradicted by a single observation, the theory is falsified."(Popper, 1959)

² (Feynman, et al., 1963, pp. 1-1)

2.2 Research

The experiment, including its description and results, imagined and sought, is supposed to demonstrate the validity of the central hypothesis, i.e., that an increase in the atmospheric CO_2 concentration from approximately 0.03% to more than 0.04% induces a discernible rise in temperature.

A comprehensive review of the literature was performed⁷. Yet, it failed to yield or find any relevant experiments confirming the central hypothesis., Therefore, additionallymultiple institutions and experts in Germany, the Netherlands, Austria, the UK and the USA were consulted to seek their support and guidance in locating a reference to such an experiment. The (anonymized) summary can be found in the Appendix.

3 DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The results of literature research were unambiguously confirmed by the answers of internationally recognized experts and institutions: no experimental evidence for the central hypothesis of all 'decarbonization' efforts, i.e., that an increase in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration from approximately 0.03% to more than 0.04% (or similar values in this magnitude) results in a measurable increase in temperature, exists or has been published

On the contrary,

- it has been shown that increasing the CO₂ content does not increase the temperature: "Based on the Stefan Boltzmann's law, this should increase the temperature of the air in the rear chamber by 2.4 to 4 degrees, but no such increase was found." and "These findings might question the fundament of the forcing laws used by the IPCC." and
 - "The increase in observed back radiation should give us a measurable temperature increase in the rear chamber. Such increase is not observed in the experiment" and
- experiments are presented, e.g. (Sahin & Schlüpmann, 2021) or (Lesch, o.J.)and (Scorza, et al., 2022)¹¹which demonstrate, that even in a (nearly) pure CO₂-atmosphere which does not correspond to the ~0.04% CO₂-concentration in air the increase of temperature is in the range of 2 to 4 K (only).

4 CONCLUSION

Science relies on empirical evidence obtained through experiments or observations to support or refute hypotheses. Consequently, and with regard to its fundamental importance, it is necessary that the central hypothesis of all 'decarbonization' effortsis evidenced experimentally. Neglect this, and science's principles falter.

Presently, the experimental data accessible either indicate the absence of a measurable temperature effect or confirm that the temperature augmentation within an atmosphere composed solely of CO₂ does not surpass 4 K. These findings might be utilized by researchers endeavoring to refute the general impact of greenhouse gases. Consequently, it becomes increasingly imperative to furnish robust experimental evidence of the central hypothesisof 'decarbonization' efforts.

However, should such experimental evidence prove elusive, it is not only permitted and reasonable but scientifically mandatory to question this central hypothesis. In absence of experimental evidenceit might become necessary to reevaluate the focus, i.e., other in-depth investigations into the various

Performed in four languages, German, English, French and Italian and using a variety of tools, including Al tools.

^{8 (}Seim & Olsen, 2020, p. 168)

⁹ (Seim & Olsen, 2020, p. 181)

¹⁰ (Seim & Olsen, 2023, p. 413)

The explanation provided for the only slight increase of temperature despite the high concentration (Scorza, et al., 2022, p. 2) is neither evidenced nor theoretically supported.

potential sources of climate change are warranted. Furthermore, a comprehensive explanation will be required to address the discrepancy between the prevailing 'scientific consensus' on climate change and the absence of its experimental evidence. Such discussion should be conducted objectively, fair, and open minded, embracing diverse perspectives.

i.e., the general acceptance of the causality between an increase of the CO₂-concentration in the atmosphere and the average global temperature, see, e.g., (Oreskes, 2004), (Cook, et al., 2013), and the overview (NASA, 2023)

5 REFERENCES

Boorstin, D., 1993. The Creators: A History of Heroes of the Imagination. s.l.: Vintage.

Callendar, G., 1938. The artificial production of carbon dioxide and its influence on temperature. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 275 64.

Cook, J. et al., 2013. Quantifying the consensus on anthropogenic global warming in the scientific literature. *Environ. Res. Lett.*.

Feynman, R., Leighton, R. & Sands, M., 1963. *The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Volume I; mainly mechanics, radiation, and heat.* s.l.:California Institute of Technology.

Lesch, H., o.J.. *Youtube: Klimawandel verstehen und handeln; LMU Klimakoffer.* [Online] Available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lUucND1s0lM

Lexis, L. & Julien, B., 2017. *How to do science - A guide to researching human physiology.* Melbourne, AUS: La Trobe University Ebureau.

Medicine, I. o., 1992. Responsible Science: Ensuring the Integrity of the Research Process: Volume I. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.

NASA, 2023. Do scientists agree on climate change?. [Online]

Available at: https://climate.nasa.gov/faq/17/do-scientists-agree-on-climate-

<u>change/#:~:text=Yes%2C%20the%20vast%20majority%20of,global%20warming%20and%20climate%20change.</u>

[Accessed 2 8 2023].

Oreskes, N., 2004. The Scientific Consensus on Climate Change. SCIENCE, 306(5702), p. 1686.

Popper, K. R., 1959. The Logic of Scientific Discovery (2nd Ed.). London: Routledge.

Revelle, R. & Suess, H., 1957. Carbon Dioxide Exchange Between Atmosphere and Ocean and the Question of an Increase of Atmospheric CO2 during the Past Decades. *Tellus*, 9.

Sahin, S. & Schlüpmann, J., 2021. Sonnentaler.net. [Online]

Available at: https://www.sonnentaler.net/aktivitaeten/meteorologie/klima/klima-planet-ich/ue3/co2.html

Schneider, S. H., 1989. The Changing Climate. Scientific American, 9, pp. 70-79.

Scorza, C., Strähle, M., Mayer, B. & Lesch, H., 2022. Wissenschaftliche Erläuterung: Experiment zur Wärmeabsorption durch CO2. [Online]

Available at: https://klimawandel-schule.de/sites/default/files/2022-

08/wissenschaftliche_erlauterung_treibhauseffekt_experiment_lmu-physik.pdf

Seim, T. O. & Olsen, B. T., 2020. The Influence of IR Absorption and Backscatter Radiation from CO2 on Air Temperature during Heating in a Simulated Earth/Atmosphere Experiment. *Atmospheric and Climate Sciences*, 10, pp. 168-185.

Seim, T. O. & Olsen, B. T., 2023. IR Absorption and Back Radiation from CO2 in a Simulated. *Science of Climate Change*, 3, pp. 408-414.

World Meteorological Organization & United Nations Environment Programme, 1988. Report of the first session of the WMO/UNEP Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Geneva: s.n.

6 APPENDIX - SUMMARY OF ANSWERS RECEIVED

The institutions approached were asked the following question:

- 2. in German: "... an der ... habe ich mit weiteren Kollegen gemeinsam eine Ringvorlesung mit dem Titel "Nachhaltigkeit, Umwelt und Verantwortung" entwickelt. ...Um in diesem ersten Teil etwas mehr inhaltliche Substanz zu vermitteln, beabsichtigte ich nicht nur die ("klassische") theoretische Darstellung des Treibhausgaseffektes zu zeigen, sondern dies auch durch die Ergebnisse eines Experimentes zu untermauern. Und genau bei dieser Suche komme ich nicht weiter. Denn sowohl die Anfrage bei Kollegen, auch von einigen anderen Hochschulen, als auch die Literatursuche halfen bisher nicht weiter gesucht wird ein Experiment, bzw. dessen Beschreibung und dessen Ergebnisse, mit welchem gezeigt wurde/wird, dass bzw. unter welchen Bedingungen die Erhöhung des CO₂-Anteils in der Luft von 0,03% auf 0,04% (oder ähnliche Werte, aber in dieser Größenordnung) zu einem messbaren Anstieg der Temperatur führen (in dem entsprechenden System). (Um es ausschließend einzuschränken: ich suche nicht ein Experiment, welches mir allgemein den Treibhausgaseffekt zeigt.)"

The answers to the questions are summarized in the table below.

Institution	First	Answer	Second	Answer	Result	Translation or
	request	received	request	received		Remark
A	13.05.2023		29.05.2023	06.06.2023	"Ein Labor-Experiment welches den CO ₂ Anstieg von ~300 auf ~400 ppm in der Atmosphäre mit einem direkten, einfach messbaren Temperaturanstieg in Verbindung bringt, ist uns leider nicht bekannt."	A laboratory experiment that directly correlates the increase of CO ₂ from ~300 to ~400 ppm in the atmosphere with a measurable temperature rise is unfortunately not known to us.
В	21.06.2023	21.06.2023			"Well, frankly, I am not so sure that there is some simple experiment for you to do that shows the correlative and causative effect of CO ₂ and temperature, but there is certainly plenty of empirical evidence of the relationship"	
С	23.05.2023	25.05.2023			"Ich habe das Video rausgesucht, in dem Harald Lesch nachweist, dass CO ₂ für die Temperaturerhöhung verantwortlich ist – und wie der Zusammenhang aussieht. Er zeigt es anhand verschiedener einfacher Experimente. https://www.youtube.c om/watch?v=IUucND1 s0IM"	I have found the video in which Harald Lesch demonstrates that CO₂ is responsible for the temperature increase - and what the connection looks like. He illustrates it with various simple experiments. (Remark: 100% CO₂atmoshphere)

Continued next page

Institution	First request	Answer received	Second request	Answer received	Result	Translation or Remark
D	23.05.2023		20.06.2023	22.06.2023	"Mir ist solches Experiment auch nicht bekannt."	Such an experiment is not known to me.
Е	03.02.2023	03.02.2023			See "experimental proof of greenhouse gas effect" http://hharde.de/index _htm_files/Harde- Schnell-GHE-m.pdf	
F	11.06.2023	07.07.2023			" Im Internet finden sich dazu auch zahlreiche Anleitungen, wie z.B.: https://www.sonnental er.net/aktivitaeten/met eorologie/klima/klimaplanet-ich/ue3/co2.html"	there are also nu- merous instructions for it on the internet, such as: https://www.sonnental er.net/aktivitaeten/met eorologie/klima/klima- planet- ich/ue3/co2.html
G	20.06.2023		11.07.2023	11.07.2023	"We have forwarded your request but so far, the request was turned down because there is no capacity."	
Н	14.06.2023		11.07.2023		No reaction	
1	05.06.2023	05.06.2023			Kein Experiment be- kannt	No experiment known
J	25.07.2023	01.08.2023			"Leider bin ich derzeit aber so massiv mit Anfragen und Projekten aller Art ausgelastet, dass ich hier leider nicht für Sie tätig werden kann."	Unfortunately, I am currently so heavily occupied with inquiries and projects of all kinds that I am unable to assist you here at the moment.
K	12.09.2023		09.10.2023		No reaction	
L	09.10.2023		03.11.2023		No reaction	