Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115755
Title of the Manuscript:	Trend and Change Point Analysis of Temperature patterns in Telangana State using different Statistical Approaches.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of No velty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy)

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	4 Veg add a swinfamatic net the field	
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	 Yes, add new information to the field Statistical Assessment of Temperature Trends and Change Points in Telangana State Yes 	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	4. Yes5. Yes6. About 53% is the within last 5 years. Not sure about the journal requirement regarding the	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	references.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, just minor mistake. The language used is good and explaination is clear.	
Optional/General comments	This paper is good for basic understanding of the data using basic and simple statistical analyses. However, the author did not give proper attention to detail such as inconsistency in the mathematica notation used and paper format. To be a good scholar attention to detail is improtant and should be instilled during PhD period.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roslinazairimah Zakaria
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah, Malaysia.