

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_115238
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical Obstetrical Management of Dystocia Due to Uterine Torsion and Faulty Disposition of Foetus in Banni Buffalo
Type of the Article	Case Study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes the title is suitable.</p> <p>Yes the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes the structure is appropriate but needs to add few more keywords.</p> <p>Yes it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>More references are needed in order to prove the hypothesis.</p> <p>Please provide some more valuable keywords to be added so that your manuscript will become more searchable. Please provide formulas as well for the analysis you are implementing like G*Power and statistical analysis. Also try to provide some graphical representations as the paper does not provide any of the graphical representations. Please ask someone from English background to review your manuscript and correct the grammatical errors. I would recommend you to use Grammarly.com to correct the grammatical errors at your end. When you are claiming such strong statements then they must be proven up.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Chhaya Gupta
Department, University & Country	Vivekananda Institute of Professional Studies – Technical Campus, India.