

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJBCRR_115238   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Clinical Obstetrical Management of Dystocia Due to Uterine Torsion and Faulty Disposition of Foetus in Banni Buffalo |
| Type of the Article      | Case Report / Case Study   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <br><br>The manuscript gives important information to filed veterinarians<br><br>Yes, suitable<br><br>Yes,<br>Yes, appropriate<br>Yes, correct<br>Yes, Sufficient | <br><br>Thank You<br><br>Thank You<br><br>Thank You<br><br>Thank You<br><br>Thank You   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | <br><br>Yes   | <br><br>Thank You   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |