

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_115575
Title of the Manuscript:	POST HARVEST TREATMENT OF BANANA WITH ARTIFICIAL RIPENING AGENT IMPARTS ON ITS NUTRITIONAL QUALITY AND ANTIOXIDANT POTENTIAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1-Yes, The manuscript introduces an idea that appears promising or might stimulate others to develop promising alternatives. Technical quality and the experiment proposed by the authors are very-well conducted and can be a good start for industrial application. Present new findings, concepts and theories. 2- Yes, the title of the article suitable. 3- Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive. In general, the manuscript easy to follow and it has a logical flow and the language of the work is concise, logical and accurate. 4- Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. This paper is novel and an advancement of the field 5-Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. Provided was very good but the references used were inadequate and non-modern. 6- The references are not sufficient and not recent. Present new findings, concepts and theories.	Okay
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications. The authors compared their own results with the results of other researchers.	
Optional/General comments	This article a very deep research report and it is excellent for the publication The paper easy to follow and it has a logical flow and the language of the work is concise, logical and accurate.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	