

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_115874
Title of the Manuscript:	BLOOD PRESSURE PATTERN AMONG YOUNG ADULTS USING AMBULATORY BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING IN SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1- Very important 2- Suitable 3- Yes 4- Yes 5- Yes and practical as well 6- Yes except for ref 16, 21 The medical H/O participants should have included other V risk factors like diabetes dyslipidaemia and family H/O....	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes apart from : - the last line under subsection(PROCEDURE) bp...should be capitalized -You must detail the abbreviations in the manuscript -In fig 2....extreme not extream - In fig 3.... bp...should be capitalized - Generally, the font, size and spacing should be uniform throughout the manuscript	
Optional/General comments	-The last paragraph in the page of section(PROCEDURE) should start with..... 1.8% of the population - The third line under section (CONCLUSION).....comparison....not comparism -The section Reference....should be REFERNCES. The lines under section (REFERNCES).... Should be justified.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Remon Saleh Adly Abdallah
Department, University & Country	Sohag University, Egypt