

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_115874
Title of the Manuscript:	BLOOD PRESSURE PATTERN AMONG YOUNG ADULTS USING AMBULATORY BLOOD PRESSURE MONITORING IN SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1.Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the patterns and prevalence of hypertension among young adults in Nigeria. It highlights the need for early detection, intervention, and awareness programs to mitigate the long-term risks associated with hypertension in this age group. 2. The title is suitable as it accurately represents the content and scope of the research article. The title concisely conveys the study's focus on investigating blood pressure patterns among young adults in South-South Nigeria using ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. 3. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study. It concisely covers the background, objectives, methods, key results, and conclusions of the research on blood pressure patterns among young adults using ambulatory blood pressure monitoring in South-South Nigeria. 4. Yes, the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript appear appropriate. It follows the typical format of a research article, with sections for Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion. The content is organized logically within these sections. 5. Overall, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, with appropriate methodology, statistical analysis, and interpretation of results. The findings are supported by relevant references and align with current knowledge in the field. However, a more thorough peer review would be necessary to identify any potential issues or areas for improvement. 6.The references provided are generally sufficient and cover relevant literature on the topic. However, some additional recent references from the past 2-3 years could be included to provide more up-to-date information, especially on the prevalence and patterns of hypertension among young adults in Nigeria and other African countries.	Reference dated has been effectedd
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is clear, well-structured, and follows academic conventions.	
Optional/General comments	The article is well-written, well-structured, and uses appropriate scientific language suitable for scholarly communications. The introduction provides a comprehensive background, the methodology is clearly described, and the results are presented systematically with relevant tables and figures. The discussion effectively interprets the findings and draws meaningful conclusions.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issues with this manuscript