

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_115593
Title of the Manuscript:	Retinitis pigmentosa revealed with macular edema : A case report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes it is useful for ophthalmic community as macular edema is one of the important cause of decrease of vision in retinitis pigmentosa patients (RP) . your case report is deficient in some important facts: You have not emphasized on the management plan and post management follow ups of the patient, which could be more helpful for other ophthalmologist in managing the cystoid macular edema in RP patients	I made some additions to respond to your request
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title should be : Cystoid macular edema in retinitis pigmentosa patients and its medical management	Ok
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Objective or aim is missing in abstract	I added the objective of the study
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes scientifically it is helpful	I added more recent references
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Reference 4,5,8,10,12 and 13 are more than 5 years old and references should be 20 in number. Please use correct format of reference number writing .	I also corrected the format of reference number writing
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	