

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_113305
Title of the Manuscript:	SAFETY AND HEALTH RISK MANAGEMENT IN SELECTED KENYA TEA DEVELOPMENT AGENCY FACTORIES IN BOMET COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Importance for Scientific Community: The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses occupational safety and health risks in the specific context of Kenya Tea Development Agency factories. By examining various hazards, mitigation strategies, and their prevalence, the research contributes valuable insights to the broader field of occupational health and safety. The findings may be beneficial for policymakers, industry practitioners, and researchers interested in enhancing workplace safety measures, especially in the tea processing sector.</p> <p>Suitability of the Title: The title, "Safety and Health Risk Management in Kenya Tea Development Agency Factories: A Cross-Sectional Analytical Study in Bomet County," is suitable as it accurately reflects the scope and focus of the research. It clearly communicates the subject matter, geographic context, and research design, providing potential readers with a concise overview of the manuscript's content.</p> <p>Comprehensiveness of the Abstract: The abstract is comprehensive, covering essential elements such as the research objective, design, methodology, key findings, and recommendations. It effectively summarizes the study's context, methods, and outcomes, offering a clear and concise overview of the research. The abstract provides adequate information for readers to assess the relevance and significance of the study.</p> <p>Appropriateness of Manuscript Structure: The manuscript structure appears appropriate with clear subsections that guide the reader through the research process. The inclusion of sections on methodology, findings, and mitigation strategies enhances the clarity of the narrative. The organization aligns with typical scientific research structures, facilitating ease of understanding for readers interested in specific aspects of the study.</p> <p>Scientific Accuracy: Based on the information provided in the abstract, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound. The use of statistical methods, such as chi-square and binary logistic regression, demonstrates a rigorous approach to data analysis. However, a more in-depth evaluation would require a detailed examination of the full manuscript, including data presentation, methodology, and results sections, to assess the scientific validity and reliability of the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, the language and content of this article align with scholarly communication standards, enhancing the manuscript's credibility and relevance in the field of occupational health and safety.	
Optional/General comments	The study contributes valuable insights into the occupational safety and health risks faced by tea factory workers in Kenya. The rigorous methodology and inclusion of multiple factories enhance the scientific robustness of the study.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Intan Suraya Binti Noor Arzahan
Department, University & Country	Malaysia Training Institute, Malaysia