

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_115732
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of onion (Allium Cepa L.) to nitrogen fertilizer rates and intra-row spacing in Southern Zone of Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and more than 50% of them is recent but four references are very old.</p>	<p>1. Yes it is very important for the scientific community and new to the study area</p> <p>2. It might be but I had modified it.</p> <p>3. Yes it is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes they are appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes it is Scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and the four old references are updated</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	<p>1. Yes it's suitable for scholarly communications</p>
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p> <p>1. In the text any et al and the Latin names must be written italic.</p> <p>2- In the introduction family must be written Family (the first letter of it must be capitalized).</p> <p>3- Fig. 2 must be put in a suitable place.</p> <p>4- The Fig. 3 must be cited in the text.</p> <p>5- All references which have been mentioned in the text must be appeared in the references list and vice versa. The references CIMMYT Economics Program 1988; Debele 1982; Desalegne and Akliu 2003; Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization 2004; Roy et al 2006; Stephen and Nicky 2007; Tadesse et al 1991; United States Department of Agriculture 1987 and Upper Awash Agro – Industry Enterprise 2001 which mentioned in references list must be written in the text. The authors: Alebachew et al 2019; Araya et al 2010; Awas et al 2010; Bagal et al 2012; Berhanu 1980; EARO 2004; FAO 2006; Lemma and Shimelis 2003; National Meteorology Agency 2018; Raya Azebo Woreda ARD Office 2019 and Tekalign 1991 which mentioned in the text must be written in references list.</p> <p>6- The publication year of the author Cottenie had been mentioned 1980 in the text and 1981 in references list; the publication year of the authors Hazelton and Murphy had been mentioned 2007 in the text and 2016 in references list, and the publication year of the authors Singh et al had been mentioned 2018 in the text and 2000 in references list.</p> <p>7- The author Etana 2019 which mentioned in the text has been mentioned Etana et al 2019 in references list and it is repeated; the author Gessesew 2015 which mentioned in the text has been mentioned Gessesew et al 2015 in references list; the author Thirupathi 2014</p>	<p>1. Accepted and corrected</p> <p>2. Accepted and corrected</p> <p>3. Accepted and corrected</p> <p>4. Accepted and corrected</p> <p>5. Accepted and arranged</p>

Review Form 1.7

	<p>which mentioned in the text has been mentioned Thirupathi et al 2014 in references list and the author Yadav 2010 which mentioned in the text has been mentioned Yadav et al 2003 in references list;</p> <p>8- The last reference which had been mentioned in references list Had not been written rightly.</p>	<p>6. Accepted and corrected</p> <p>7. Accepted and corrected</p> <p>8. Accepted and corrected</p>
--	---	--

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	<p>No there are not any ethical issues</p>