

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_114936
Title of the Manuscript:	Employees Benefites and Service Quality Among the Restaurants Staff in Bislig City
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1. Is the article important to the scientific community? Because it highlights the impact relationship between factors that create employee satisfaction and factors that create service quality.</p> <p>2. Suggestion: The article title should be changed to The relationship between factors that create employee welfare and factors that create product service quality: Research at restaurants in Bislig city</p> <p>3. In the article summary, the content should not be divided into many clear paragraphs such as objectives, research design, location, methods, results, conclusions.</p> <p>-Should write briefly, in content, but highlight ideas about research objectives, research methods, and research results data to confirm the relationship between elements of employee welfare and other factors. Factors that create service quality. It is possible to suggest additional factors that need to be improved</p> <p>4. Should be rearranged according to the content of section</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abstract- Introduction- Theoretical foundations and research hypotheses:- Research methods- Results and analysis- Conclusions, recommendations- References <p>5. Sufficient references, new documents Need to add Doi or link to reference documents</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Accept</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In content 2.2 It is necessary to clearly state which author the questionnaire was inherited from, clearly state the author, and the Doi of that article must be included in the specific citation content. What content is adjusted needs to be clearly stated.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Van Nhan Nguyen
Department, University & Country	Vietnam