Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_114936**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Employees Benefites and Service Quality Among the Restaurants Staff in Bislig City**
Type of the Article	**Social Sciences**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. The manuscript investigates an important topic concerning the relationship between employee benefits and service quality among restaurant staff in Bislig City. However, to enhance its significance for the scientific community, the authors could provide more context on the broader implications of their findings within the field of hospitality management and workforce satisfaction. 2. The title of the article accurately reflects the content and scope of the study. No suggested alternatives at this time. 3. The abstract provides a concise summary of the research objectives, methods, findings, and conclusions. However, it could be strengthened by including more specific details about the key results and implications of the study. 4. The manuscript's subsections and structure appear well-organized and follow a logical flow. Each section effectively contributes to the overall understanding of the research topic. However, I recommend ensuring consistency in formatting and style throughout the manuscript. 5. Overall, the manuscript appears scientifically sound, with appropriate methodologies and data analysis techniques employed. However, I recommend clarifying certain methodological aspects, such as the sampling procedure and data collection methods, to enhance the rigor and reproducibility of the study. 6. The references provided are relevant to the topic and sufficiently recent. However, I suggest including additional references to recent studies or industry reports that could further support the research findings and provide additional context for the study's significance.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, I recommend minor revisions to improve clarity and coherence in certain sections. Specifically, pay attention to sentence structure, grammar, and punctuation to ensure a smooth and fluid reading experience for the audience. Additionally, consider using academic terminology consistently throughout the manuscript to maintain scholarly rigor. Overall, with these minor adjustments, the language quality of the article will be well-suited for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Muhamad Iqbal Nurmanditya**
Department, University & Country	**University of Sangga Buana, Indonesia**