

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	CurrentJournalofAppliedScienceandTechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_CJAST_114936
TitleoftheManuscript:	EmployeesBenefitesand ServiceQualityAmongtheRestaurantsStaffinBisligCity
Typeofthe Article	Semi-scientificarticles/populararticles

ReviewForm 1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>This design is also important for a group of people who work in this field, for example in the hospitality or tourism sector.</p> <p>For an appropriate title, in my opinion the title would be better: The Relationship between Employee Roles and Service Quality.</p> <p>The abstract is quite comprehensive, it just needs to be added a little.</p> <p>Keywords are not appropriate, do not represent the title, and in the abstract, it is best to write a little background to the research, before the research objectives. In abstract systematics, it is best to write down the research methodology first, then write down the research design.</p> <p>In the background, apart from the facts written down, there is also data stated, so the background contains data, facts, rules and phenomena that occur, if any. In the research objectives, it is best to write down the research indicators used, sub-indicators should not be written in the research objectives.</p> <p>Quantitative research should be written deductively, namely from general explanation to specific explanations. the background does not yet describe deductive data.</p> <p>The research methodology should also include data collection methods, data analysis and what is used to analyze the data. for example: validity test and reliability test, normality test and homogeneity test if the data is heterogeneous. The type of data used is also stated, whether secondary data, primary data or both.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Maybe, not yet.	
Optional/General comments	In general, if you look at the systematics, this research is appropriate. but if you look at the overall content there needs to be some improvements, according to the input I have written.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Helvy Yunida
Department, University & Country	State University of Jakarta, Indonesia