

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_116132
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of life satisfaction of patients before and after diagnosis of eye pathologies
Type of the Article	Original research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript gives impact of eye pathologies on life satisfaction of patients, an aspect which is usually overlooked while diagnosing a patient.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes .</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The factors determining life satisfaction are not clearly delineated.• What vision threatening ocular pathologies were included in the study?• Did the impact vary with severity of disease at diagnosis and the type of diagnosis?• Did the impact vary with different practitioners?	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yashi Bansal
Department, University & Country	Punjab Institute of Medical Sciences, India