

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_115085
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive analysis of risk factors of diabetic nephropathy and exploring the treatment pattern.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Please start new sentence with capital letter and use punctuations correctly. Check the sentence in abstract starting 'Where' 2. Methodology; Please avoid repetition of sentence (1 st and 2 nd paragraph). Give exact exclusion and inclusion criteria. No need to mention the first sentence in 4 th paragraph according to their inclusion criteria. 3. Results and Discussion: Table 1 and Figure 1 represent the same data. Table 1 can be omitted. Same in the case of other tables and figures showing same data. Label figure-3 . 4. Data based on BP is inconclusive. Please check table 4 and table 5. Figure-5 seems overlapped with table 6. Label figure 6. Check figure 8 , distribution based on eGFR or potassium ? 5. Tables and figures get mixed up. Please sort out the issue. 6. Discussion of result with other recent studies have to be included.	 1. The necessary changes are amended 2. The exclusion and inclusion criteria are properly addressed 3. The table and figures having similar data are properly omitted 4. The problems regarding the overlapping of tables are properly sorted. The data regarding BP highlights the impact of high BP in patients having Diabetic Nephropathy. 5. Issue properly amended
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	