

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_114324
Title of the Manuscript:	Modelling by Generation of Poisson Distributed Numbers of First Historical Zika Outbreak in Salta, Argentina.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Definitely, as this opens up a new dimensions to view the problem in a completely different approach. Yes Yes Yes Yes The literature review has to be improved so that the quality of paper can be improved.	Indeed the approach presented is new and simple. The biggest work is its implementation on the computer. However, this also makes it difficult for us to find references for the discussion. Despite the difficulty mentioned above, we try to improve the literature.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is ok but authors should once again check for the punctuations.	We check the punctuations. As a consequence we have slightly modified the wording of some paragraphs.
Optional/General comments	Accept the manuscript after improving the suggestions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	