

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_112999</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE SOCIOECONOMIC BENEFITS ARISING FROM KUBWA RAIL STATION IN ABUJA, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes the manuscript is very important for scientific community and I strongly encourage the author</b></p> <p><b>The title must be re-paraphrased to better have a clear meaning</b></p> <p><b>The abstract is very well organized</b></p> <p><b>Yes are very appropriate</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript is scientifically correct but the author must adopt a simple way to present the data collect to the field also he/she must consider other factors that contributed in development of the community</b></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

	<b>The reference are recent except two or three</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is very important to scientific community, The author has selected a big sample size which would require more economic resource, and the public may question his/her authenticity of the data	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manishimwe Guillaume</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rwanda</b>