

## Evaluation of biofortified sweet potato varieties for their growth, yield and economic performance under Assam condition

### Abstract

An on-farm trial under Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Baksa was undertaken to evaluate the performance of two biofortified sweet potato varieties (Bhu Sona and Bhu Krishna) against a check variety Dergaon Red. The trial was conducted ~~on-in~~ eight different locations of Baksa and Dhubri district of Assam following scientific cultivation practices. Statistical analysis of the growth and yield characters shows significant differences ~~s~~ between the three varieties. The variety BhuSona exhibited the highest vine length(214 cm), tuber length(18.6 cm), tuber weight(152.3 g), and average yield(16.7 tonnes/ha). In some significant yield ~~characteristicseha-~~  
~~raeters~~ like marketable tuber yield per plant and tuber yield per plant, the two bio-fortified varieties were at par with each other. ~~In-And with~~ respect to days taken for harvesting from planting, BhuSona and Dergaon Red were at par with each other with 109.3 days. The economic analysis reflected a maximum gross income of Rs. 253500 per hectare in Bhu Sona which was 26.8% more than the check variety. The variety Bhu Krishna also exhibited a 14% increase in gross return from the check variety. The trial indicated that the cultivation of varieties Bhu Sona and Bhu Krishna is feasible under Assam conditions contributing higher economic return to the farmers.

**Keywords:** Biofortified, sweet potato, BhuSona, Bhu Krishna, Dergaon Red

### 1. Introduction

Sweet potato or Sakarkand (*Ipomeabatata*L.) is one of the rich tuberous crop with herbaceous and prostrate growth habit grown around the world(Nandhini *et al.*, 2021). Although perennial in nature, it is grown as an annual through vegetative propagation. The crop is cultivated across countries located in tropical, sub-tropical and warmer temperate regions and among them, Asia is the world's largest producing region. Ranked as the fifth most important food crop in developing countries after rice, wheat, maize and cassava, sweet potato is a good source of Vitamin A, B1, B2, C, and E, essential minerals like zinc, and calcium, and anti-oxidant properties. It is also a rich source of dietary fiber and contains high pectin content. The low glycemic index of sweet potato also makes it favourable for consumers with diabetic conditions (Sanapet *al.*, 2023). The crop can be grown in diverse climatic conditions and degraded or marginal land conditions with mini-

mum input for which it is often referred to as a 'Famine relief crop' and 'Poor Man's Energy food' (Jataet *al.*, 2011).

Assam has a high potential for expanding the cultivation area of sweet potato which is around 9.4 thousand hectares of sweet potato cultivation with an average production of about 36.2 thousand tonnes per year (Jha and Deka). The state suffers heavily from annual floods which also hit the agricultural economy. In such a situation, sweet potato may be a suitable crop to reduce the distress of the farmers after the flood. Moreover, the consumption of sweet potato in Assam is also linked with traditional customs since pre-colonial times and it is one of the important tuber vegetables consumed by the people of the region (Saikia, 2013). There is a great scope for value addition of sweet potato which has also demand in different industries like flour, ethanol, etc. The vines are also a great source of round-the-year green fodder. Hence, there exists a demand and a market for sweet potatoes.

The —Introduction of novel varieties with proper scientific cultivation practices among the growers of traditional varieties may help in increasing the-productivity and economic return. The biofortified varieties Bhu Sona and Bhu Krishna with high beta-carotene and anthocyanin content can help to fetch premier pricesby fromthe farmers. However, testing of novel varieties for new climatic conditions is needed since temperature, daylight and precipitation have significant effect on the productivity of the crop (Chakraborty et al., 2017). Hence, the on farm trial was designed with the objectivestoof assessing the performance of the varieties in terms of yield and economics.

## 2. Materials and method

An On-Farm Trial was conducted with-an-aim-to assess the performance of two biofortified varieties- Bhu Sona and Bhu Krishna against a check variety - Dergaon Red at eight different locations of Baksa district and Dhubri district of Assam under Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Baksa and Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Dhubri. Bhu Sona and Bhu Krishna was-were developed by ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute as biofortified varieties with a potential yield of 18-20 tonnes/ha and were released during the year 2017. The crops were grown following the standard package of practices recommended by Assam Agricultural University.

The treatments viz. T1- Bhu Sona, T2- Bhu Krishna, and T3- Dergaon Red were laid in randomisedrandomized block design and the eight locations (four locations each in Baksa district and Dhubri district) were used as replications. The

varieties were raised in different plots during May-June for the generation of cuttings which were planted in the main field during ~~the month of~~ September and ~~waswere~~ ready for harvest after 105-115 days. Vine turning or lifting was carried out ~~at~~-60 days after planting to prevent adventitious root development and to achieve better production from the main root. Different parameters related to vegetative growth and yield ~~waswere~~ recorded from the eight locations to calculate the mean value. Statistical analysis of the recorded data was done to test the significance of the treatments using ANOVA as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Morphological characters

Significant morphological differences were observed among the three treatments. Critical characters like leaf type, vine colour and tuber skin and flesh colour were recorded and furnished in Table 1. for distinguishing the morphological characters.

#### 3.2. Vegetative characters

Vegetative characters play a significant role in influencing the yield of sweet potato (Pazos *et al.*, 2021) and recorded data of some important parameters are furnished in Table 2. Vine length is one of the major growth character which was recorded at the time of harvest. Significant difference among the treatments was observed and BhuSona exhibited the highest vine length (214 cm). The variation in this character might be due to the different genetic ~~make-up~~makeup of the varieties (Rahman *et al.*, 2015). The internodal length also significantly varied among the treatments which may be due to variation in the vine length of the varieties. BhuSona recorded the highest ~~internodal~~internodal length (length) (3.73) whereas Bhu Krishna and Dergaon Red was at par with each other. This character is also highly influenced by varietal genetic stock (Egbeet *al.*, 2012). In case of days taken to harvest, BhuSona and Dergaon Red both took minimum days (109.3 days) to harvest. Apart from genetic ~~make-up~~makeup, this character might have been influenced by the vine length, and number of leaves which helped in rapid production of tubers. The results obtained are concurrent with the findings of Pavithraet *al.* (2022), Rahman *et al.* (2015) and Tirkey *et al.* (2011). Table 2. Performance of sweet potato varieties on vegetative characters.

#### 3.3. Yield characters

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Black

The important yield attributing characters like tubers per plant, tuber length, ~~tuber~~ ~~and tuber~~ weight have shown significant variation among the treatments (Table 3.). ~~The H~~highest number of tubers per plant was recorded in Bhu Krishna(7.1) and ~~the~~ lowest in Dergaon Red(~~4.8~~). The variation in number of tubers per plant is due to the genetic variation among the varieties (Uwah *et al.*, 2013). ~~A H~~higher number of tubers per plant effectively enhances the total yield(Rahman *et-al.*, 2015). Hence, Bhu Sona might have exhibited ~~the~~ highest tuber yield per plant (0.865 kg/plant) and tuber length (18.6 cm) which is also an influential character for economic return. ~~The H~~highest fresh tuber weight was found in Bhu Sona (152.3 g) followed by Dergaon Red (144.3 g). The results implicated that fresh tuber weight increased with higher tuber length. In ~~the~~case of marketable tubers per plant, BhuSona(3.5) and Bhu Krishna(3.7) were at par with each other. The average yield per hectare was recorded highest in BhuSona(16.7 tonnes/ha) which is at par with Dergaon Red(16.5 tonnes/ ha). Good quality tubers, tuber per plant and tuber yield per plant in Bhu Sona might have attributed to the highest average yield. The results recorded from these parameters are ~~in~~-concurrent with the findings of Kar~~et al.~~ (2022), Allolli~~et al.~~ (2012) ~~and Bharathiand Bharathi~~*et al.*, (2005)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Black

### 3.4. Economic analysis

The highest return was recorded from Bhu Sona (Table 4.) which might be due to high average yield. Moreover, the biofortified varieties fetched higher prices in the market in comparison to Dergaon Red which has~~ve~~ also resulted in variation in the economic return. The gross return from Bhu Sona was recorded 26.8% more than the gross return from Dergaon Red. The cost of cultivation from the second year may decrease due to ~~the~~ availability of planting material from ~~the~~ first year mother plant stock.

### 4. Conclusion

Sweet potato, being a demanding crop mainly in the tribal areas has the potential to play a key role in uplifting the economy of the rural mass. The bio-fortified varieties may fetch a premium price in the market. The initiatives taken by KVKs to create awareness about ~~the~~ importance of biofortification may have ~~a~~ positive impact on added market value. The results indicated that Bhu Sona recorded superior vegetative and yield characters. On the Bhu Krishna was also at par with Bhu Sona in respect to some important ~~characters~~characteristics. The gross and net return from Bhu Sona was also ~~the~~ highest along with ~~a~~ high BC ratio. ~~The O~~rganolep-

tic evaluation ~~showed~~ showed better consumer acceptance of the variety Bhu Sona. Hence, these varieties have exhibited promising performance in terms of vegetative, yield, and economic returns.

Table 1. Morphological characters of sweet potato varieties

| Treatments  | Vine colour | Leaf type                           | Petiole pigmentation | Tuber skin colour | Tuber flesh colour |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Bhu Sona    | Green       | Cordate with moderate lobe (Fig. 1) | Reddish              | Orange yellow     | Orange (Fig. 1)    |
| Bhu Krishna | Purple      | Cordate with moderate lobe (Fig 2.) | Purple               | Purple            | Purple (Fig. 2)    |
| Dergaon Red | Green       | Cordate with slight lobe (Fig. 3)   | Purple               | Reddish purple    | White (Fig. 3)     |

Table 2. Performance of sweet potato varieties on vegetative characters

| Treatments       | Vine length (cm) | Internodal length (cm) | Days to harvest |
|------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Bhu Sona (T1)    | 214              | 3.73                   | 109.3           |
| Bhu Krishna (T2) | 190.6            | 3.2                    | 117.3           |
| Dergaon Red (T3) | 186.33           | 3.1                    | 109.3           |
| SEd              | 2.27             | 0.202                  | 3.13            |
| C.D (0.05)       | 5.152            | 0.46                   | 7.108           |

Table 3. Performance of sweet potato varieties on yield characters

| Treat-ments      | Tubers per plant | Tuber length (cm) | Fresh Tuber weight (g) | Tuber yield per plant (kg/plant) | Marketa-ble tuber per plant | Average yield (tonnes/h a) |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Bhu Sona (T1)    | 6.1              | 18.6              | 152.3                  | 0.865                            | 3.5                         | 16.7                       |
| Bhu Krishna (T2) | 7.1              | 14.5              | 124.3                  | 0.861                            | 3.7                         | 16.2                       |
| Dergaon Red (T3) | 4.8              | 17.6              | 144.3                  | 0.748                            | 2.9                         | 16.5                       |
| SEd              | 0.350            | 0.339             | 3.2                    | 0.030                            | 0.251                       | 0.11                       |
| C.D (0.05)       | 0.794            | 0.769             | 7.286                  | 0.068                            | 0.570                       | 0.250                      |

Table 4. Economic performance of sweet potato varieties

| Particulars | Gross cost(Rs./ha) | Gross re- turn(Rs./ha) | Net re- turn(Rs./ha) | B:C ratio |
|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| T1          | 94500              | 253500                 | 159000               | 2.68      |
| T2          | 94500              | 227360                 | 132860               | 2.4       |
| T3          | 73300              | 159920                 | 86620                | 2.18      |

## References

1. Allolli TB, Asthani SI, Imamsaheb SJ. Evaluation of different orange flesh sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam] varieties with respect to growth, yield and quality under Dharwad condition. Asian J. Hort.2012;7(2) : 402-404.
2. Bharathi LK, Medhi RP, Venkatesh A, Damodaran V. Evaluation of sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam] varieties for Andaman condition. J. Root Crops.2005; 31(2) : 144-145.
3. Chakraborty C, Dasgupta R, Ghosh D. Climatic Conditions Required and Factors Affecting the Production of Sweet Potato. IJASR.2017; 7(1) : 459-464.

4. Egbe OM, Afuape SO, Idoko JA. Performance of improved sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* L.) varieties in Makurdi, Southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. *Am J Exp Agric*. 2012; 2(4):573-86.
5. Jata SK, Nedunchezian M, Misra RS. The Triple 'F' (food, fodder and fuel) Crop Sweet Potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.]. *Orissa Reviews*. 2011; 82-92.
6. Jha AK, Deka BC. Problem and Prospects of Tuber Crops in North Eastern region, 2013. Available: [https://kiran.nic.in/pdf/publications/Tuber\\_Crops.pdf](https://kiran.nic.in/pdf/publications/Tuber_Crops.pdf).
7. Kar DS, Panda CM, Sahu GS, Tripathy P, Das AK, Sahu S, Mohanty A, Padhiary GG, Mishra SL. Evaluation of different sweet potato genotypes for various characters. *The Pharma Innovation*. 2022; Sp-11(9): 3084-3086.
8. Nandhini M, Devi HUN, Pugalendhi L, Arthanari PM. Performance evaluation of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) as weed smothering under coconut ecosystem. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021; 10(10): 1480-1483.
9. Pavithra P, Thangamani C, Pugalendhi L, Swarnapriya R, Meenakshi P. Studies on Evaluation of Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* Lam.) Genotypes for High Tuber Yield and Quality. *IJECC*. 2022; 12(11): 1523-1530.
10. Pazos J V P, Rosero A, Martínez R, Perez J, Morelo J, Araujo H, Erazo EB. Influence of morpho-physiological traits on root yield in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* Lam.) genotypes and its adaptation in a sub-humid environment. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2021; 275(2021): 1-12.
11. Rahman H, Islam AFM, Maleque A, Tabassum R. Morpho-Physiological Evaluation of Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) Genotypes in Acidic Soil. *Asian Journal of Crop Science*. 2015; 7 (4): 267-276.
12. Sanap YK, Sirsat U, Satpute PN. Economic upgradation of tribes through processing of sweet potato. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2023; SP-12(6): 472-474.
13. Saikia A. Food-Habits in Pre-Colonial Assam. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention*. 2013; 2(6): 01-05.
14. Tirkey P, Agrawal K, Tandekar K. Studies on orange fleshed Sweet potato for yield and quality traits. *Agricultural and Biological Research*. 2011; 27(1): 20-28.
15. Uwah DF, Undie UL, John NM, Ukoha GO. Growth and yield response of improved sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) varieties to different

rates of potassium fertilizer in Calabar, Nigeria. J. Agric. Sci.2013; 5: 61-69.

UNDER PEER REVIEW