

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_115915
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological processes affecting postharvest quality of fresh fruits and vegetables
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Fresh fruits and vegetables are integral components of a balanced diet, providing essential nutrients and contributing to human health. However, the postharvest quality of these perishable commodities is greatly influenced by various physiological processes that occur after harvest.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>In the Abstract, are briefly presented the results obtained by the author. It is not clear what the author's contribution is in this research.</p> <p>A scientific paper must include the following sections: Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions, Conclusions, References. In the work are missing sections: Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions.</p> <p>Yes, if it is a synthesis of the literature on the given topic, but if it is a scientific work – no.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Introduction, the achievements of other researchers in the world on the given topic are briefly described. Materials and methods includes the description of all the materials and methods applied to carry out the research, where and when the research was carried out.</p> <p>In Results and discussions - the results obtained after the research are presented with tables and figures that include data about the research carried out.</p> <p>In the Conclusions, the results obtained by the author following the research are clearly and concisely presented.</p>	<p>The reviewer mistook a review article with research article.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	