

## Original Research Article

### **Genetic variability estimation in forty pigeonpea germplasm for yield and yield attributing traits**

#### **Abstract**

**Aim:** The present investigation aimed at estimating the genetic variability in forty pigeonpea germplasms for yield and yield attributing traits such as initial plant stand, final plant stand, days to 50% flowering, primary branches, secondary branches, plant height, days to maturity, wilt incidence<sub>%</sub>, pod borer infestation<sub>%</sub>, 100 seed weight, number of pods per plant, yield (g/plot), yield (kg/ha).

**Study design:** study was conducted in RBD design with two replications and spacing of 60 cm X 30 cm.

**Place and duration of study:** The present investigation was carried out during *kharif* 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 at the research farm of Birsa Agricultural University farm located at Kanke, Ranchi.

**Methodology:** Genetic variability was estimated among forty pigeonpea genotypes. Pooled data over two years were subjected to statistical analysis for estimation of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), heritability in broad sense ( $h^2$ ) and genetic advance as per cent of mean for fourteen quantitative traits such as initial plant stand, final plant stand, days to 50% flowering, primary branches, secondary branches, plant height, days to maturity, wilt incidence<sub>%</sub>, pod borer infestation<sub>%</sub>, 100 seed weight, number of pods per plant, yield (g/plot), yield (kg/ha).

**Results:** PCV ranged from 2.45% (days to maturity) to 30.83% [yield (kg/ha)], whereas the range of GCV was from 1.12% (days to maturity) to 17.67% [yield (g/plot)]. Low estimates of GCV and PCV was recorded for days to 50% flowering (6.39%, 4.48%), days to maturity (2.45%, 1.12%), and 100 seed weight (8.53%, 5.65%). The extent of heritability in broad sense ( $h^2$ ) varied from plant height (16.9%) to days to 50% flowering (49.20%). Low heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for plant height (16.90%, 4.95%), number of pods per plant (21.10%, 9.95%), and days to maturity (20.90%, 1.05%) indicating in-efficacy of selection.

**Conclusion:** genotypes showed high magnitude of variability for all the traits under study.

**Keywords:** Genetic Variability, GCV, PCV, Heritability, Genetic Advance as percent of mean

Formatted: Superscript

## Introduction

Pigeon pea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill.sp.] is the most important pulse crop after chickpea grown in India. It is commonly known as tur, red gram and arhar, congo pea, gungo pea and no-eye pea. It is predominantly a self-pollinated crop (cross pollination exceeds 40%) with  $2n=2x=22$  (diploid chromosome number) and its genome size is 833.07 Mb (Yadav *et al.* 2024). Globally, it ranks sixth after pea, broad bean, lentil, chickpea and common bean. India is the largest producer and consumer of pigeonpea. It is mostly used as dry split dal which is rich in proteins i.e., 21-25% (Jeevarathinam *et al.*, 2020). It has a number of nutritive qualities, including protein, carbohydrates, vitamins B complex, carotenes, minerals (iron, magnesium, phosphorus), and thiamine, riboflavin, and niacin. When eaten with cereals, it provides essential amino acids and has high concentrations of lysine, leucine, glutamic acid, aspartic acid, and arginine (Akshaya *et al.*, 2023). The pigeonpea plant, additionally known as the "biological plough," is considered to have several advantages for soil, including fixing nitrogen from the atmosphere (40-60 kg N/ha), contributing in addition of organic matter and micronutrients to soil, breaking up hard plough pans with its long tap roots. Pigeonpea is a multipurpose crop that grow well in a variety of soil types. They can provide reasonable yields of food that is rich in nutrients even on deteriorated soils with little help from outside resources. Despite being a crop rich in nutrients, the productivity of pigeonpea worldwide has been rather stagnant at 700–800 kg/ha (Saxena *et al.*, 2020, Ranjani *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, it is necessary to increase the production of pigeon pea to overcome the yield stagnation by developing high yielding varieties. To develop high yielding varieties, knowledge on the existing genetic variability in the crop needs to be studied.

Yield is a complex attribute that is highly swayed by environment and is controlled by number of individual traits. Therefore, for effective selection and improvement in any breeding program, estimates of genetic parameters i.e., genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation will help in finding out the variability in the genotypes. However, GCV and PCV will only reveal the relative magnitude of genetic variability present in the genotypes. Estimates of heritability ( $h^2$ ) along with genetic advance (GA) as percent of mean are expected to reveal more information related to variability. Therefore, present investigation was carried out to evaluate the significance of variability with respect to phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability in a broad sense  $h^2$  (bs), and estimated genetic advancement in order to furnish additional information that might potentially enhance yield features.

## Material and methods

The present investigation was carried out during *kharif* 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 at the research farm of Birsa Agricultural University farm located at Kanke, Ranchi. The study consisted of forty pigeonpea germplasms grown in randomized block design in two replications at a spacing of 60 cm X 30 cm. observations were recorded from five randomly selected plants on initial plant stand, final plant stand, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, plant height, wilt incidence (%), pod borer infestation (%), days to 50% flowering, number of pods per plant, days to maturity, 100 seed weight, yield (g/plot) and yield (kg/ha) from both the replication. The phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were estimated according to the method suggested by Burton

Comment [N1]: Reference

Comment [N2]: Please mention where from germplasm collected. Give accession number of each germplasm used in this experiment

and Devane (1953), heritability in broad sense ( $h^2$ ) (Lush, 1949) and genetic advance as per cent mean (GAM) (Johnson *et al.*, 1955) were estimated from the pooled data over two years.

## Results and Discussions

The analysis of variance showed significant differences between the genotypes for all the thirteen traits (Table 1). Table 2 presents the range, mean, and genetic parameters like genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (GCV and PCV), heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean. Wide range of phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation was found for almost all the traits under investigation. For all of the characters examined, the phenotypic coefficient of variation was rather high in comparison to the corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation, showing that the expression of these traits is influenced by the environment. PCV ranged from 2.45% (days to maturity) to 30.83% [yield (kg/ha)], whereas the range of GCV was from 1.12% (days to maturity) to 17.67% [yield (g/plot)]. The high values of PCV were detected for final plant stand (26.91%), primary branches (21.49%), secondary branches (20.35%), number of pods per plant (22.88%), yield (g/plot) (30.52%), yield (kg/ha) (30.83%). Similar results were reported by Patel *et al.*, 2021, Akshya *et al.*, 2023, Yadav *et al.*, 2024. Moderate estimate of PCV were recorded for initial plant stand (17.57%) and plant height (14.25%). The high values of GCV and PCV were found for wilt incidence (36.31%, 53.64%) and pod borer infestation (27.60%, 50.67%). These findings were similar to findings of Byatroy *et al.*, 2022 for wilt infestation. Moderate estimate of GCV was observed for initial plant stand (11.75%), final plant stand (17.45%), primary branches (10.63%), secondary branches (12.05%), number of pods per plant (10.51%), yield (g/plot) (17.67%), and yield (kg/ha) (30.83%). Patel *et al.*, 2021 also recorded moderate values of GCV for number of pods per plant, primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant and plant height. Low magnitude of GCV was observed for plant height (5.85%). However, low estimates of GCV and PCV was recorded for days to 50% flowering (6.39%, 4.48%), days to maturity (2.45%, 1.12%), and 100 seed weight (8.53%, 5.65%). Low estimates of GCV and PCV shows less variability for the traits being studied. Hence, selection would be effective for these traits. Similar results were reported by Pushpavalli *et al.*, 2017, Meena *et al.*, 2017, Patel *et al.*, 2021, Galian *et al.*, 2015, Ajay *et al.*, 2014, Patel *et al.*, 2011. for plant height, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, 100seed weight.

Although GCV could only show the presence of genetic variation but from breeding aspect, genetic variation is rewarding only if it is heritable. The extent of heritability in broad sense ( $h^2$ ) varied from plant height (16.9%) to days to 50% flowering (49.20%). As heritability is also influenced by environment, therefore, heritability alone will not be helpful in selection of superior genotypes. Estimates of heritability along with genetic advance as per cent of mean (GAM) will be more rewarding for selecting best genotypes (Johnson *et al.*, 1955). Moderate estimate of broad sense heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for final plant stand (42.00%, 23.30%), wilt incidence (45.80%, 50.64%), yield (g/plot) (33.50%, 21.07%) and yield (kg/ha) (32.20%, 20.43%) indicating that the characters are governed by additive genes. Low heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for plant height (16.90%, 4.95%), number of pods per plant (21.10%, 9.95%), and days to maturity (20.90%, 1.05%) indicating the preponderance of

non-additive genes and thereby in-efficacy of selection. High genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for final plant stand (23.30), wilt incidence (50.64), yield (g) (21.07), yield (kg/ha) (20.43). Low estimates of genetic advance as per cent of mean was found for plant height (4.95), days to 50% flowering (6.48), number of pods per plant (9.95), days to maturity (1.05) and 100 seed weight (7.71). Similar findings were reported by Tiwari et al., 2015, Mallesh *et al.*, 2017. Gautam *et al.*, 2021 also found moderate to low genetic advance as per cent of mean for number of pods per plant, days to maturity, plant height, 100 seed weight in chickpea.

## Conclusions

In the present investigation, relatively higher values of PCV were recorded than GCV indicating that the characters were influenced by the environment. The high values of GCV and PCV were found for wilt incidence and pod borer infestation indicating higher influence of environment on these traits. Moderate estimate of GCV was observed for initial plant stand, final plant stand, primary branches, secondary branches, number of pods per plant, yield (g/plot), and yield (kg/ha). Low estimates of GCV and PCV recorded for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, and 100 seed weight indicates that the traits are less variable and selection will be worthwhile. Moderate estimate of broad sense heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for final plant stand, wilt incidence, yield (g/plot) and yield (kg/ha) indicating that the characters are governed by additive genes and these traits can be selected for improvement in further breeding programme.

	sources of variation					
	Replication	Environments	Interactions	Overall Sum	Genotypes	Error
(df)	1	1	1	3	39	117
Initial Plant Stand	3.34	469.40 **	3.91	158.88 **	52.95 **	12.50
Final Plant Stand	8.45	1795.80 **	0.21	601.49 **	63.82 **	16.37
Wilt (%)	0.03	415.35 **	2.97	139.45 **	106.16 **	24.21
Plant height (cm)	1723.51	336198.80 **	5.60	112642.64 **	1162.47 *	641.35
Primary Branches	6.28	76.25 **	0.00	27.51 **	6.84 **	2.98
Secondary Branches	17.44	84.10 **	0.00	33.85 **	18.13 **	5.73
Days to percent flowering	74.98	81.18 **	0.00	52.05 *	79.38 **	16.28
Number of pods per plant	4266.60	166667.50 **	31.59	56988.55 **	4360.09 **	2105.23
Pod Borer (%)	4.26	15.14 **	0.00	6.47 **	23.80 **	8.86
Days to maturity	53.93	191.43 **	0.50	81.95 *	34.71 *	16.88
100 Seed weight (g)	0.01	2.08	1.83	1.31 *	1.73 **	0.42
Yield (g)	25516.64	427511.50 **	133.13	151053.75 **	43480.32 **	14415.24
Yield (Kg/ ha)	72406.47	1187523.00 **	168.20	420032.44 **	120778.00 **	41679.24

Table 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for pooled RBD for thirteen characters in pigeon pea genotypes

\*significant at 5%, \*\*significant at 1% probability level

**Table 2. Genetic Variability Parameters of thirteen quantitative characters of forty Pigeonpea germplasm**

Traits	Range		GCV	PCV	h <sup>2</sup> (Broad Sense)	GA 5%	GA as % of Mean (5%)
	min	max					
<b>IPS</b>	20.75	35.00	11.757	17.578	44.700	4.381	16.197
<b>FPS</b>	13.75	29.00	17.451	26.919	42.000	4.600	23.306
<b>Wilt (%)</b>	6.48	28.24	36.311	53.634	45.800	6.313	50.642
<b>PH (cm)</b>	162.40	229.75	5.857	14.253	16.900	9.661	4.957
<b>PB</b>	7.00	12.25	10.634	21.493	24.500	1.002	10.839
<b>SB</b>	10.00	20.75	12.058	20.350	35.100	2.149	14.718
<b>DFF</b>	80.25	96.00	4.488	6.397	49.200	5.739	6.485
<b>NPP</b>	168.50	300.00	10.516	22.882	21.100	22.478	9.956
<b>PoB (%)</b>	2.69	13.27	27.601	50.678	29.700	2.168	30.967
<b>DM</b>	181.00	195.50	1.123	2.456	20.900	1.988	1.057
<b>100 SW (g)</b>	8.96	12.04	5.657	8.539	43.900	0.782	7.719
<b>Yield (g)</b>	294.25	673.13	17.670	30.523	33.500	101.656	21.073
<b>Yield (Kg/ ha)</b>	490.42	1121.88	17.490	30.833	32.200	164.324	20.438

**IPS**=initial plant stand; **FPS**= final plant stand; **PH**= plant stand; **PB**= primary branches; **SB**= secondary branches; **DFF**= days to 50% flowering; **NPP**= number of pods per plant; **PoB**%= pod borer infestation (%); **DM**= days to maturity; **100 SW**= 100 seed weight

## References

1. Akshaya M, Geetha K, Nirmalakumari A, Sharavanan PT, Sivakumar C, Parasuraman P. Genetic Variability, Correlation and Principal Component Analysis for Yield Related Traits in Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Legume research*. 2023 Jun 5;(Of).
2. Ashutosh ASR, Bhanu AN, Singh MN, Kumar M. Genetic analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in pigeonpea [*Cajanascajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2019;10(3):1240-5.
3. Burton GW, Devane EW. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*. 1953; 45:478-481.
4. Byatroy H, Bagewadi B. Genetic variability for fusarium wilt disease reactions in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) Millsp. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11(6), 129-136.
5. Galian JL, Mohan N, Sameer C V, Mallesh P. Genetic variability studies in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *edition and page??*
6. Gaur AK, Verma S, Panwar R. Estimation of genetic variability and character association for development of selection criteria in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Int J Chem Stud*. 2020 Mar 1;8(2):391-394.
7. Jeevarathinam G, Chelladurai V. Pigeon Pea. In: Manickavasagan, A., Thirunathan, P. (eds) *Pulses*. 2020.
8. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soyabean. *Agron. J*. 1955;47:477-483
9. Linge SS, Kalpande H V, Sawargaonkar SL, Hudge B V, Thanki HP. Study of genetic variability and correlation in interspecific derivatives of Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2010; Vol. 1.
10. Lush JL. Intra-sire correlation and regression of off-spring on dams as a method of estimating heritability characters. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Animal production*. 1940;33:292-300.
11. Mallesh P, Nanda HC, Ch D, Sameer Kumar C V, Mohan N, lee J. Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Quantitative Traits in Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp.). *Int J Pure App Biosci*. 2017;5(5):25-8.
12. Meena BL, Das SP, Meena SK, Kumari R, Devi AG, Devi HL. Assessment of GCV, PCV, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Yield and its Components in Field Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Inter national J,ournal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2017;6(5), 1025-1033.
13. Patel JB, Acharya S, Joshi VC, Vaghela KO. Genetic Variability and Character Association Study in Indo-African Derivatives of Pigeonpea. Vol. 50, *Annals of Arid Zone*. 2011.
14. Patel PR, Sharma M, Patel MP. Study of heritability, genetic advancement, variability and character association for yield contributing characters in pigeon pea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Emergent Life Sciences Research*. 2021;07(02):01-4.
15. Pushpavalli SNCVL, Sudhakar C, Rani CS, Rajeswari RR, Rani CJ. Genetic divergence, correlation and path coefficient analysis for the yield components of pigeonpea genotypes. *Legume Research*. 2017 Jun 1;40(3):439-43.

**Comment [N3]:** follow journal rules of referencing

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

16. Pushpavalli SNCVL, Yamini KN, Anuradha, Rajani, Kumar G, Sudha Rani C, et al. Genetic variability and correlation in pigeonpea genotypes. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2018;9(1):343-9.
17. Ranjani MS, Jayamani P. Genetics of fertility restoration in A<sub>2</sub>-based cytoplasmic genetic male sterility system in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). *Plant breeding*. 2023;142( 2), 195-201.
18. Saroj SK, Singh MN, Kumar R, Singh T, Singh MK Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield attributes in pigeonpea. *The Bioscan*. 2013;8(3): 941-944.
19. Saxena K, Bohra A, Choudhary AK, Sultana R, Sharma M, Pazhamala LT, et al. The alternative breeding approaches for improving yield gains and stress response in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). *Plant Breeding*. 2021;140:74-86.
20. Singh J, Bajpai GC. Analysis of gene effects for yield and yield attributing traits in interspecific crosses of pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp]. 2005;Vol. 65. *Journal name??*
21. Singh RS, Singh MN. Character association trend among yield attributing traits in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Indian J Sci Technol*. 2016;9(6).
22. Thanga Hemavathy A, Kannan Bapu JR, Priyadharshini M. Genetic variability and character association in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] core collection. *Indian J Agric Res*. 2019 Jun 1;53(3):362-5.
23. Tiwari A, Sharma RN, Nanda HC, Sarawgi AK. Genetic variability analysis for plant selection in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2015;17, 524-528.
24. Yadav H, Panwar RK, Verma SK, Arora A, Singh NK, Gaur AK, et al. Elucidation of Genetic Variability for Seed Yield and Its Component Traits, along with Association Studies in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Int J Plant Soil Sci*. 2024 Jan 20;36(1):207-16.
25. Gautam A, Krishi P, Arora A. Assessment of Genetic Variability Parameters for Yield and its Components in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Biological Forum*. 2021;13(2): 651-655

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic