

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115534
Title of the Manuscript:	Trade-offs between farm incomes and soil loss: An application of bio-economic modelling in a semi-arid watershed in south India.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. YES</p> <p>2. SUITABLE</p> <p>3. ABSTRACT IS COMPREHENSIVE BUT I DON'T YNDERSTAND THAT WHY IT IS DEVIDED INTO SUB HEADINGS. RESULTS ARE NOT SO CLEAR.</p> <p>4. NOT SO EFFECTIVE AND THE AUTHOR HAS NOT GIVEN REFERENCES ABOUT SOURCE OF CONCEPTUAL DSIGN.</p> <p>5. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT IN WHAT WAY THE AUTHOR HAS PREDICTED THE RAIN FALL CONDITIONS @ 2050. BECAUSE IT MIGHT BE INFLUENCED BY MANY OTHER GLOBAL REASONS. IT NEEDS MORE SCIENTIFIC EVALUATION SUPPORT.</p> <p>6. REFERENCES ARE VERY FEW IN COUNT. THE AUTHOR HAS TO GO THROUGH SIMILAR STUDIES TO STRENGTHEN HIS/HER RESEARCH.</p> <p>7. CLEARER FIGURES AND ANALYSIS IS NEEDED WITH BETTER EXPLANATION.</p> <p>8. IN THREE CHARTS (FIG.2) I DON'T RECOGNISE MUCH DIFFERENCES EXCEPT COLORS.</p> <p>9. NO FINDINGS ARE THERE.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	OK (NOT UP TO THE MARK)	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sayyad Saadiq Ali
Department, University & Country	Chandigarh University, India