

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_115631
Title of the Manuscript:	The Phytochemical Composition and Antibacterial Effects of Allium sativum clove Extracts on Albino Rats Infected with Some Enteric Bacterial Pathogens
Type of the Article	Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript was well written.  Materials and Method: 2.4. Phytochemical Screening The method described by Lajubutu <i>et al.</i> [13] was used for this. Aalkaloids, Ttannins, Ssaponins and flavonoids were tested for in the extract. 2.5. Antibacterial Assay In this method, broth culture of the test isolates(O0.1ml) was Fixed volumes (O0.1ml)of the four different concentrations of the extracts were transferred into the wells 1-4 using a sterile pastuer pipette. The control wells were filled with O0.1ml of distilled water and 10µg of ciprofloxacin respectively.  Question: Why the present study, if Utami et al. has previously carried out the antibacterial activity of the same plant. The author(s) has to clearly state the difference between the two studies.  Note: RED: Delete	These corrections have been effected.

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No